

Velociraptor: the state of the art 100 years after the discovery of this iconic dinosaur



Gabriele Bindellini¹, Alfio Moscarella¹, Peter Makovicky², Fabio Manucci³ & Marco Romano¹

¹Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.

²Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA.

³Associazione Paleontologica e Paleoartistica Italiana (APPI), Parma, Italy.

GB, [0000-0001-6359-3067](https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2025.22); AM, [0000-0001-7122-5643](https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2025.22); PM, [0000-0001-9307-9982](https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2025.22); MR, [0000-0001-7629-3872](https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2025.22).

Ital. J. Geosci., Vol. 144, No. 3 (2025), pp. 460–486, 10 figs., 1 tab., <https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2025.22>.

Research article

Corresponding author e-mail: marco.romano@uniroma1.it

Citation: Bindellini G., Moscarella A., Macovicky P., Manucci F. & Romano M. (2025) - *Velociraptor*: the state of the art 100 years after the discovery of this iconic dinosaur. Ital. J. Geosci., 144(3), 460–486, <https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2025.22>

Associate Editor: Carlo Corradini

Guest Editor: Filippo Bertozzo

Submitted: 15 November 2025

Accepted: 08 July 2025

Published online: 23 July 2025

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL is available at: <https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2025.22>



© The Authors, 2025

ABSTRACT

The centenary of the first description of *Velociraptor* Osborn, 1924 presents a valuable opportunity to examine the extensive research, interpretations, and cultural impact surrounding this iconic theropod dinosaur. Since its discovery on August 11, 1923, by Roy Chapman Andrews' team in the Gobi Desert, *Velociraptor* has evolved from an unknown fossil to a household name, driven by both scientific advancements and popular media representations. Early discoveries, such as the crushed skull and manus claw were pivotal in defining distinctive predatory features in *Velociraptor*. Subsequent finds, including the “fighting dinosaurs” fossil in 1971, provided profound insights into its anatomy and behaviour, challenging initial assumptions about its predatory tactics and social interactions. The late 20th and early 21st centuries marked a paradigm shift with the discovery of feathered dromaeosaurids, leading to the hypothesis that *Velociraptor* also possessed feathers. This hypothesis, supported by the presence of quill knobs on its forearm and the discovery of close relatives covered with feathers, transformed its depiction from a scaly predator to a bird-like dinosaur. Modern technologies have furthered our understanding of physiology and sensory capabilities in *Velociraptor*, revealing sophisticated hunting strategies facilitated by its keen sense of smell and advanced auditory capabilities. Its predatory behaviour, characterised by the use of its sickle claw for grasping prey, underscores its ecological role as an efficient predator. Evidence of varied feeding strategies suggests a versatile approach to survival, while potential social behaviours imply cooperative hunting or interactions. Popular culture, notably the ‘Jurassic Park’ franchise, has significantly shaped public perception, often diverging from scientific accuracy. Bridging this gap through documentaries and educational programs is crucial for fostering a nuanced and more complete understanding. As we look ahead, ongoing research and technological advancements promise to uncover further insights into diversity, behaviour, physiology, and ecological interactions of this dinosaur. This centenary not only celebrates a remarkable palaeontological find but also underscores the dynamic nature of scientific inquiry and the pivotal role of *Velociraptor* in the broader narrative of dinosaur research.

KEYWORDS: *Velociraptor*, Dromaeosauridae, Cretaceous, Mongolia, feathered dinosaurs, Palaeoart.

INTRODUCTION

This year marks the centenary of the first description of one of the most iconic carnivorous dinosaurs, *Velociraptor*, made infamous as one of the main “antagonists” in the popular film series “Jurassic Park” and its “Jurassic World” sequels. The first *Velociraptor* fossil (AMNH 6515) was discovered in 1923 during the second of the Central Asiatic Expeditions of the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH) into the Gobi Desert, led by Roy Chapman Andrews, the legendary American palaeontologist, and explorer that may have inspired the popular movie character Indiana Jones (Romano & Novacek, 2023). This remarkable discovery was made on August 11, 1923, in the Upper Cretaceous deposits of the Djadokhta Formation at the Flaming Cliffs locality (Bayn Dzak, Mongolia). The specimen was collected by Peter Kaisen, a veteran collector and preparator at the AMNH (Granger, 1936) and consisted

of a complete –albeit laterally crushed– skull and a manual ungual articulated with the penultimate phalanx from digit III (Fig. 1).

The scientific name of this new dinosaur, *Velociraptor mongoliensis*, was bestowed a year later by the American palaeontologist and then-President of the AMNH, Henry Fairfield Osborn, in a seminal paper naming three new theropod species (Osborn, 1924). He combined the Latin words “*velox*” (meaning ‘fast’) and “*raptor*” (meaning ‘predaceous’ or ‘plunderer’) (Osborn,

1924). The specific epithet “*mongoliensis*” refers to its source locality in Mongolia. To date, only one other species of *Velociraptor* is considered valid: *V. osmolskae*, described in 2008 based on three cranial bones collected at Bayan Mandahu, roughly 300 km southeast of Bayn Dzak (Godefroit et al., 2008), although ongoing statistical research has proposed greater taxonomic diversity among the specimens currently referred to *V. mongoliensis* (Powers et al., 2020; Ruebenstahl et al., 2023).




Fig. 1 - Skull of AMNH 6515 in right lateral view (a), left lateral side (b) and original drawings by Osborn (1924) (d). Manus claw articulated with the penultimate phalanx from digit III of AMNH 6515 (c).

Velociraptor and other dromaeosaurids share with other paravians the uses of pedal digits III and IV in locomotion (Li et al., 2007) as suggested by the anatomy of articulated specimens (Norell & Makovicky, 1997) and confirmed by footprints (Li et al., 2007); differently the inner major pedal digit (digit II) is elevated off the ground and features a long, sharp, and sickle-shaped ungual phalanx (claw). This particular trait, while now iconic, was not fully understood until the 1970s. The discovery of *Deinonychus* played a critical role in shifting our perception of dromaeosaurids, and more generally, theropods, anatomy, functional morphology, physiology, and behaviour. American palaeontologist John Ostrom's (1969) description of *Deinonychus* highlighted the potentially lethal function of these sickle claws as possible weapons capable of tearing and disembowelling prey (Ostrom, 1969). However, more recent research suggests that this pedal ungual claw may have been primarily used to grasp and immobilise prey rather than for slashing and tearing (Carpenter, 1998; Norell & Makovicky, 1999; Manning et al., 2009; Fowler et al., 2009). This claw, which could grow over 6.5 cm in total length along the dorsal perimeter in adult

Velociraptor specimens, remains one of the most distinctive and fascinating features of this dinosaur.

Over the past fifty years, palaeontological expeditions in Mongolia have yielded numerous *Velociraptor* specimens, including several nearly complete and fully articulated skeletons (Tab. 1). The most remarkable discovery is the famous “fighting dinosaurs” fossil, which captures a *Velociraptor* and a *Protoceratops* in a mortal combat. Discovered in 1971 by a Polish-Mongolian expedition at the Tugrik locality near the Flaming Cliffs, this fossil provides a rare and vivid snapshot of interspecies interaction (Kielan-Jaworowska & Barsbold, 1972). The two dinosaurs appear to have been buried alive in the midst of combat, possibly due to a sudden sandstorm or the collapse of a rain-soaked dune (Norell & Makovicky, 2004). The *Velociraptor* specimen is seen plunging its right sickle claw into the neck and the left into the belly of the *Protoceratops*, while the latter clamped the former's right arm with its powerful beak. This interaction, once interpreted to having occurred at twilight, based on the finding that *Velociraptor* was nocturnal (Schmitz & Motani, 2011). However, re-evaluation of the correlation between scleral

Table 1 - Specimens assigned to the genus *Velociraptor* as reported in peer-reviewed literature, including the first and most significant papers in which they are referenced. See the supplementary material for further information on Velociraptorinae taxonomy.

Taxon	Specimen	Brief description	Formation	Locality	First referenced
<i>Velociraptor mongoliensis</i>	AMNH 6515	a crushed but complete skull, associated with one of the raptorial second toe claws	Djadokhta Formation	Tugrikin-Shire, Omnogov, Mongolia	Osborn, 1924
	FPDM-V7220	A complete skull	“	“	Azuma, 2005
	MPC-D 100/24	Almost complete skull, fragmentary postcranial elements	“	“	Barsbold, 1983
	MPC-D 100/25	Complete skeleton belonging to the “Fighting Dinosaurs” couple	“	“	Kielan-Jaworowska & Barsbold, 1972
	MPC-D 100/54	Almost complete specimen, associated with pterosaur bones (stomach content); one palaeopathological broken rib	“	“	Hone et al., 2012
	MPC-D 100/976	Partial skeleton and skull; punctures of <i>Velociraptor</i> teeth on the frontal bones	“	“	Norell et al., 1999; Norrell et al., 1995; Molnar, 2001
	MPC-D 100/985	Incomplete skeleton including fragmentary postcranial remains; bones scavenged by burrowing arthropods	“	“	Norell & Makovicky, 1997; Norell et al., 1997
	MPC-D 100/1252	Partial skull	“	“	Turner et al., 2007
	MPC-D 100/2000	A complete juvenile specimen	“	“	Barsbold & Osmolska, 1999; Tada et al., 2023
	PIN 3143/8	Almost complete skull with left mandibular ramus, lacking right temporal region; tip damaged	“	“	Barsbold & Osmolska, 1999
	MPC-D 100/986	Partial skeleton and fragmentary skull	“	Chimney Buttes, Omnogov, Mongolia	Norell & Makovicky, 1997; Norell et al., 1999
<i>Velociraptor</i> sp.	MPC-D 100/982	Almost complete skeleton, with a well-preserved skull and torso	“	Bayn Dzak (“Volcano sublocality”), Omnogov, Mongolia	Norell & Makovicky, 1997; Norell & Makovicky, 1999
<i>‘Velociraptor’ osmolskae</i>	IMM 99NM-BYM-3/3	Both maxillae and left lacrimal	Bayan Mandahu Formation	Quarry SBDE 99BM-III, Urad Rear Banner, Inner Mongolia, China	Godefroit et al., 2008
? <i>Velociraptor</i>	MPC-D 100/981	Fragmentary skeleton; ulna with quill knobs	Djadokhta Formation	Gilvent Wash, Omnogov, Mongolia	Turner et al., 2007

ring size and diel patterns are inconclusive for *Velociraptor*, making it impossible to ascertain the exact time of day of the encounter (Choiniere et al., 2021).

The discovery of the “fighting dinosaurs” brought *Velociraptor* to public attention almost fifty years after its initial discovery. The first reconstruction of *Velociraptor* depicting the battle with *Protoceratops* appeared in a 1975 book illustrated by Giovanni Caselli (Halstead, 1975). This book showcased many new and previously overlooked taxa, including the first-life reconstruction of *Spinosaurus*. However, Caselli’s depiction did not include the characteristic sickle claw on *Velociraptor*’s foot. The same year, the renowned palaeoartist Zdenek Burian also illustrated *Velociraptor*, portraying it in a dynamic pose but with a head shape more aligned with that of *Deinonychus*, a mistake later replicated by the Jurassic Park franchise. Throughout the 1980s, more accurate reconstructions began to emerge, thanks to the diligent efforts of palaeoartists such as Mark Hallett (Waldrop & Loomis, 1984) and Gregory Paul (Paul, 1988). These artists, along with Bakker (1986) were among the first to envision *Velociraptor* as a feathered dinosaur, anticipating the discovery of feathered dromaeosaurids in China in the following decades.

Paul’s (1988) work, including his book ‘Predatory Dinosaurs of the World’, suggested that *Deinonychus* and *Velociraptor* might be congeneric, with *Deinonychus* considered a junior synonym, though it was not based on detailed character analysis. Although this hypothesis was not widely accepted within the scientific community, it influenced Michael Crichton’s novel ‘Jurassic Park’, published in 1990. As a result, *Velociraptor* made its pop-culture debut portrayed as *Deinonychus*, an animal nearly twice its actual size. In reality, *Velociraptor* was closer in size to a wild turkey (Paul, 2017). The 1993 film adaptation by Steven Spielberg catapulted *Velociraptor* to global fame, depicting it as a highly intelligent, pack-hunting predator.

Despite the portrayal of *Velociraptor* in Jurassic Park, significant palaeontological discoveries in the late 20th and early 21st centuries have transformed our understanding of this dinosaur. Direct evidence of feathers in dromaeosaurids emerged in 1999 with the discovery of *Sinornithosaurus* (Xu et al., 1999). While no *Velociraptor* specimens preserve feathers, the presence of wing-like feather arrangements on the forelimbs of dromaeosaurids such as *Zhenyuanlong* and *Microraptor*, coupled with quill knobs on the ulna of a specimen referred to *Velociraptor* provides compelling evidence that it was also feathered (Turner et al., 2007). Although these feathers were unlikely to have enabled flight due to limb proportions and body mass, except in small-bodied species like *Microraptor* and *Rahonavis*, they might have played roles in reproduction, such as egg protection (Hopp & Orsen, 2004), or in courtship displays and other forms of display and/or communication (e.g., Zhang et al., 2010; Zelenitsky et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012).

Despite the cultural imprint left by Jurassic Park, the reality of *Velociraptor* as a feathered dinosaur remains underrepresented in the public imagination. Nonetheless, the film franchise has helped *Velociraptor* to become one of the most recognised, albeit misunderstood, dinosaurs. One hundred years after its description, advances in palaeontology have revealed *Velociraptor* as a small, feathered theropod with formidable sickle claws for restraining

prey, jaws filled with recurved and serrated teeth, and neural anatomy indicative of a fast predator capable of tracking moving objects and hearing a wide range of frequencies (King et al., 2020). Even though direct evidence of feathers and pack-hunting remains elusive, ongoing research promises to uncover new aspects of *Velociraptor* biology.

A century since its first description, *Velociraptor* continues to be a focal point in palaeontological research. Our understanding of this dinosaur has grown substantially through new fossil discoveries, technological advancements, and refined analytical techniques. Recent studies have examined various facets of *Velociraptor* anatomy, behaviour, and ecology, offering a more nuanced view of this dynamic predator.

The Gobi Desert, particularly the Djadokhta Formation, remains a rich source of *Velociraptor* fossils. Among the most significant finds are nearly complete skeletons that have provided critical insights into the dinosaur’s anatomy and biology. Detailed examinations of the *Velociraptor* skull have revealed specialised features such as an enlarged olfactory bulb, suggesting a keen sense of smell that likely aided in hunting (Barsbold & Osmólska, 1999; Norell & Makovicky, 2004). Additionally, the unique structure of the braincase indicates advanced sensory processing capabilities, contributing to the predatory effectiveness (King et al., 2020).

Modern imaging techniques such as CT scans and 3D modelling, have allowed researchers to explore the internal structures of *Velociraptor*, uncovering details about its brain, sensory organs, and musculoskeletal system that were previously inaccessible. These technological advancements have enabled scientists to simulate movements and feeding behaviours in *Velociraptor* with unprecedented accuracy, providing deeper insights into its ecology and lifestyle.

In this contribution, to celebrate the 100th anniversary of the first description of *Velociraptor*, we present a comprehensive review of the current state of knowledge on this iconic non-avian theropod by discussing taxonomic and phylogenetic aspects, palaeobiological characteristics, the evolution of its iconography over time, and its influence on popular culture.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present state of the art on the various aspects of anatomy, general biology, feeding behaviour, metabolism, locomotion, palaeoneurology, palaeopathology, palaeoenvironment of life and iconographic evolution over time of *Velociraptor* was conducted on the basis of an extensive review of the existing literature, consisting of over 190 scientific publications (i.e., articles, book chapters, books; see References).

The phylogeny of Dromaeosauridae and phylogenetic position of *Velociraptor* was discussed based on the results of a cladistic analysis conducted on the data matrix by Wang et al. (2022) using the software PAUP* 4.0. A heuristic search was used as search option; this was run with 100 trees set as the number of maximum trees in memory (automatically increased by 100 if this limit was hit), 10000 random replicates, and the Tree Bisection Reconnection (TBR) as swapping algorithm. 252

most parsimonious trees (MPTs) of score 2151 were found. A strict and majority rule consensus tree (50% of frequency required) of the MPTs were then calculated and outputted. Such trees resulted in similar topologies, with the only exception being Saurornitholestinae, for which the OTUs were recovered as a single polytomy in the strict consensus tree. A similar analysis was run using the Czepeński (2023) data matrix, which is based on that of Powers et al. (2021a). 317 MPTs of score 406 were found. A majority rule consensus tree (50%) of the MPTs was then calculated and outputted. The two data matrices were chosen since they represent the recent outputs of different research groups; the aim of the analysis was to compare the resulting topologies obtained under the same standardised methodology; results of these analyses are briefly discussed in a dedicated section. For both analyses, consensus tree length and a diagnostic for each character are available as supplementary file (Appendices 2, 3).

In the section dedicated to the evolution of *Velociraptor* iconography over time, we also propose an updated reconstruction of *Velociraptor mongoliensis*. The reconstruction of *Velociraptor* provided in the section “*Velociraptor* iconography” is based on the specimen MUST SN1140/BM, digitised through photogrammetry following the procedure applied in several recent works on terrestrial tetrapod skeletons (e.g., Romano & Rubidge, 2021; Romano et al., 2021a, 2021b, 2022a, 2022b, 2023, 2024; Van den Brandt et al., 2024). The 3D model was reconstructed from 186 photos taken all around the skeleton using a 24-megapixel Canon EOS 750D (18 mm focal length). The skeletal model in 3D served as the base to sculpt the animal in flesh and bones. Upon the flesh, feathers and down were placed to fit the current knowledge and available data on related taxa. For the realisation of the *in-vivo* portrait, the digital sculpting program Maxon Zbrush 2023 was used. The program allows the insertion of background visual references, using multiple perspective views as a reference for sculpting, in combination with photogrammetry from the cast. Details such as scales and skin folds were added to the model manually. The plumage was reconstructed using the Fibermesh tool, which handles the realisation of filaments. The model was finally coloured using ZBrush digital painting tools.

A review of the systematic palaeontology of Velociraptorinae is provided as supplementary material as Appendix 1.

Known specimens of *Velociraptor*

The first *Velociraptor* specimen known to science is a complete yet mediolaterally crushed skull associated with an articulated ungual and penultimate phalanx of manual digit III (AMNH 6515) (Osborn, 1924) (Fig. 1). After WWII, while Cold War restrictions prevented North American teams from entering to communist Mongolia, expeditions by Soviet and Polish scientists, working with Mongolian colleagues, collected numerous dinosaurian remains, including specimens of *Velociraptor*. The most famous of these findings is the “fighting dinosaurs” (MPC-D 100/25) discovered on the 3rd of August 1971 (Kielan-Jaworowska & Barsbold, 1972; Barsbold, 1974). Other recovered *Velociraptor* specimens include MPC-D 100/24, an incomplete skeleton with fragmentary postcranial elements and an almost complete skull (Barsbold,

1983; Barsbold & Osmólska, 1999), MPC-D 100/2000, a juvenile complete skeleton (Barsbold & Osmólska, 1999), and PIN 3143/8, an almost complete skull (Barsbold & Osmólska, 1999).

In the early 1990’s several joint expeditions of the Mongolian Academy of Sciences and the American Museum of Natural History brought to light more dinosaurian skeletons, including new abundant *Velociraptor* material (e.g., Norell et al., 1995; Norell & Makovicky, 1997, 1999; Norell et al., 1997). Table 1 includes most of the published specimens belonging to this genus.

Specimen MPC-D 100/982 was collected in 1992 at Bayn Dzak locality (“Volcano sublocality”), not far from Tugrikin-Shire locality. Although this specimen was originally referred to as *V. mongoliensis*, it shows clear differences in the pelvic region and neuroanatomy (Kundrát, 2004; Norell & Makovicky, 1999). A recent analysis suggests it might represent a different and as-yet-unnamed species (Powers et al., 2020; Ruebenstahl et al., 2023), and therefore here referred to *Velociraptor* sp. (Fig. 2).

Specimen IMM99NM-BYM-3/3 was recovered during a Sino-Belgian expedition in 1999 and later named *Velociraptor osmolskae* by Godefroit et al. (2008). However, phylogenetic analyses such as Evans et al. (2013) (but see also Czepeński, 2023) found it more closely related to *Linheraptor* than to *Velociraptor*, therefore suggesting that this species may not belong to the genus *Velociraptor*. Since that time, the specimen has been referred to ‘*Velociraptor*’ *osmolskae*, waiting for the erection of a new genus.

Specimen MPC-D 100/981, a fragmentary skeleton including an ulna with quill knobs, was originally referred to *V. mongoliensis* (Turner et al., 2007) (Fig. 3). Nonetheless, its fragmentary condition does not support such specific attribution, and the specimen is preferably referred to Velociraptorinae indet. or ?*Velociraptor* (Napoli et al., 2021).

Figure 4 shows an updated skeletal reconstruction of *Velociraptor*, including available material of some selected specimens.

TAXONOMY OF EUDROMAEOSAURIA AND DEFINITION OF VELOCIRAPTORINAE

Sereno (1998) defined the group Velociraptorinae Barsbold 1983 as all dromaeosaurids more closely related to *Velociraptor* than to *Dromaeosaurus*, which along with its purported sister taxon Dromaeosaurinae Matthew & Brown, 1922 constituted the clade Dromaeosauridae (Matthew & Brown, 1922) Russell 1969, before the recognition of other taxa such as Microraptorinae and Unenlagiinae.

Dromaeosaurinae typically includes at least three species: *Utahraptor ostrommaysi*, *Achillobator giganticus*, and *Dromaeosaurus albertensis* (Senter et al., 2012; DePalma et al., 2015; Napoli et al., 2021; Powers et al., 2021a; Wang et al., 2022); nonetheless, recent analyses, recovered *Achillobator* and *Utahraptor* as Velociraptorinae (Currie & Evans, 2020; Jasinski et al., 2020) whereas *Deinonychus* is either recovered as a member of Velociraptorinae or Dromaeosaurinae (Napoli et al., 2021; Powers et al., 2021a; Wang et al., 2022).



Fig. 2 - Right lateral side (a) and left lateral side (b) of MPC-D 100/982.

The clade Saurornitholestinae was recognised for the first time by Longrich & Currie (2009) and recovered as the sister taxon to a clade formed by Dromaeosaurinae and Velociraptorinae. It typically includes three species: *Atrociraptor marshalli*, *Bambiraptor feinbergi*, and *Saurornitholestes langstoni* (Longrich & Currie, 2009; Jasinski et al., 2020). *Bambiraptor feinbergi* has recently been suggested to be a junior synonym of *Saurornitholestes langstoni* (Pittman et al., 2020), of which it may represent a juvenile individual; *Shri*, *Kuru*, and *Acheroraptor* are either recovered as Saurornitholestinae or

Velociraptorinae (Napoli et al., 2021; Turner et al., 2021; Powers et al., 2021a; Wang et al., 2022; Czepiński, 2023).

The three clades Velociraptorinae, Dromaeosaurinae, and Saurornitholestinae belong to Eudromaeosauria, first recovered and defined by Longrich & Currie (2009) as the most inclusive clade containing *Dromaeosaurus*, *Velociraptor*, *Deinonychus*, and *Saurornitholestes*, their most recent common ancestors and all its descendants. Dromaeosaurinae and Velociraptorinae are often recovered as sister clade, with Saurornitholestinae forming a basally



Fig. 3 - (a) Dorsal view of right ulna of *Velociraptor* MPC-D 100/981. (b) Detail of the white box in (a) showing six evenly spaced feather quill knobs highlighted in the line drawing in (c). (a) and (b) are modified from Turner et al. (2007).




Fig. 4 - Skeletal reconstruction of *Velociraptor*. Colour schematic representation of the available material belonging to AMNH 6515, MPC-D 100/982, and MPC-D 100/985. Size is based on MPC-D 100/25.

branching radiation within Eudromaeosauria (Longrich & Currie, 2009; Evans et al., 2013; Powers et al., 2020; Napoli et al., 2021; Turner et al., 2021); conversely, in other analyses, Velociraptorinae is sister to Saurornitholestinae, with Dromaeosaurinae being a basal clade of Eudromaeosauria (Powers et al., 2021a; Wang et al., 2022).

Systematic Palaeontology

Dinosauria Owen 1842
 Theropoda Marsh 1881
 Dromaeosauridae Matthew and Brown 1922
 Velociraptorinae Barsbold 1983

Genus *Velociraptor* Osborn 1924

Type species: *Velociraptor mongoliensis* Osborn 1924

Velociraptor mongoliensis Osborn 1924

Referred specimens: see Table 1.

Type locality: Bayn Zag (“Flaming Cliffs”), Ömnögov, Mongolia.

Type horizon: Djadokhta Formation, Campanian, Upper Cretaceous.

‘*Velociraptor*’ *osmolskae* Godefroit et al. 2008

Type locality: quarry SBDE 99BM–III, Bayan Mandahu, Mongolia.

Type horizon: Bayan Mandahu Formation, Campanian, Upper Cretaceous.

Remarks: distinct from *V. mongoliensis* by the size and shape of the promaxillary fenestra and the elongate ratio of the rostral plate of the maxilla. Because it is not recovered as the sister taxon of *Velociraptor mongoliensis* in some recent analyses (e.g., Powers et al., 2021a; Czepiński, 2023; but see Wang et al., 2022), this species is here referred to as ‘*Velociraptor*’ *mongoliensis*. The phylogenetic placement (or affinity) of this species has yet to be confirmed but the general consensus suggests that this taxon should be referred to a distinct genus.

Velociraptor sp. Powers 2020

Type locality: Volcano sub-locality, Flaming Cliffs, Bayan Dzak, Mongolia.

Type horizon: Djadokhta Formation, Campanian, Upper Cretaceous.

Remarks: MPC-D 100/982, the single specimen included in this as-yet-unnamed taxon, was initially tentatively assigned to *V. mongoliensis*. Nonetheless, since its discovery, differences between it and *V. mongoliensis* have been identified in the anatomy of the pelvic region and the neurocranium (Norell & Makovicky, 1997, 1999; Kundrát, 2004). Powers (2020) confirmed that this specimen represents a third species of *Velociraptor*, which he named *Velociraptor vadarostrum* and provided a character-based diagnosis. Because the naming of this species was in a M.Sc. thesis (Powers, 2020) rather than in a peer-reviewed journal, the binomen must be considered a *nomen nudum*. Powers et al. (2020, 2021a, 2021b, 2021c) and Ruebenstahl et al. (2023) confirmed the existence of this third species, which to date remains unnamed.

RESULTS OF THE PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS

Results of the cladistic analyses performed on the two datamatrices (A and B, see Material and Methods section) reveal that Dromaeosauridae includes Halszkaraptorinae, Unenlagiinae, Microraptorinae, Yixian dromaeosaurids, and Eudromaeosauria. The clade Averaptora (Troodontidae+Avialae) is found only in A; in B, Avialae is sister to Troodontidae+Dromaeosauridae (Fig. 5). According to analysis A, *Balaur* belongs to Avialae, and is found to be sister to Aves, consistently with Cau et al. (2015) *contra* previous hypotheses (see above for its systematic). In A, clade Halszkaraptorinae+Unenlagiinae is found as sister of *Shanag*+more derived Dromaeosauridae, whereas in B Halszkaraptorinae is recovered as the basalmost member of Dromaeosauridae. On the other hand, Unenlagiinae in A is sister to Halszkaraptorinae,

whereas in B it occupies a polytomy with Yixian dromaeosaurids more derived than Microraptorinae, but more basal than Eudromaeosauria. In A, *Shanag* is recovered more basal than Microraptorinae, *contra* the original analysis of Wang et al. (2022) where it is sister to Yixian dromaeosaurids + Eudromaeosauria.

In both analyses, Eudromaeosauria comprises Velociraptorinae, Dromaeosaurinae, and Saurornitholestinae. In A, Velociraptorinae is sister to Saurornitholestinae+Dromaeosaurinae, whereas in B Dromaeosaurinae is sister to Saurornitholestinae + Velociraptorinae. In B, Velociraptorinae comprises *Velociraptor*, *Linheraptor*, *Tsaagan*, *Shri*, *Adasaurus*, and *Kuru*, whereas in A, the latter three genera belong to Saurornitholestinae. Likewise, in B *Adasaurus* is no longer recovered as the basalmost member of Eudromaeosauria, *contra* Powers et al. (2021a: Fig. 18A) and Czepiński (2023: Fig. 6A).

None of the two consensus trees resulting from analyses A and B recovered “*Velociraptor*” *osmolskae* in a monophyletic clade with *Velociraptor mongoliensis* and/or *Velociraptor* sp. Moreover, despite previous results (e.g., Powers et al., 2020; 2021a: Fig. 18A), in B *Velociraptor* sp. is not recovered sister to *Velociraptor mongoliensis*, but is instead classified as the basalmost member of the clade Velociraptorinae. This result may be the consequence of two reasons. First, the poorly resolved topology of Eudromaeosauria. Secondly, under an overly reductive interpretation, taxa such as *Tsaagan* and *Linheraptor* could be considered junior synonyms of *Velociraptor*, which would be the generic affiliation for all Velociraptorinae. Accepting the latter explanation would allow considering “*Velociraptor*” *osmolskae* to belong to *Velociraptor*. Nonetheless, this solution cannot be recommended, given our current knowledge of velociraptorine taxonomy, both because the topology of Eudromaeosauria is not well-resolved and because of the presence of clear character distinctions between the mentioned taxa. In this context, we once again support the proposal to establish a new genus for “*Velociraptor*” *osmolskae*, and to clearly redefine the specimen MPC-D 100/982 to assess its systematic position and, ideally, advance the resolution of Velociraptorinae phylogeny.

DIAGNOSIS OF VELOCIRAPTOR

A notable gap in our current understanding of *Velociraptor* is the absence of an up-to-date, comprehensive diagnosis. There is no published updated formal list of autapomorphic traits or differential diagnostic features that reliably distinguish *Velociraptor* from other closely related taxa. Furthermore, recent phylogenetic analyses have failed to identify a consistent list of diagnostic traits for the genus *Velociraptor*. The comparison of two major parsimony-based analyses (Wang et al., 2022; Czepiński, 2023; see above) illustrates the complexity of the issue. In the analysis by Czepiński et al. (2023), only a single autapomorphic trait optimised as diagnostic for *Velociraptor* in all MPTs—the size of the denticles on its teeth (character 85). This contrasts with the findings of Wang et al. (2022) who identified 12 diagnostic characters, exclusively related to the cranium, though one related to the dentition and has been miscored (absence of 4th




Fig. 5 - Cladograms (50% majority rule consensus trees) obtained with Wang et al. (2022) (a) and Czepeński (2023) (b) data matrix. The two results represent different outputs of different research groups and are here presented to comment on the differences in the topology obtained. See text for details on method and discussion. Abbreviations: P=Paraves; A=Averaptora; D=Dromaeosauridae. 0-100 numbers indicate frequency of occurrence for each node. Silhouettes used in the Figure are available at phylopic.org. Shared under public domain: *Archaeopteryx lithographica* by T. Michael Keesey; *Sinovenator changii* by Tasman Dixon. Shared under CC BY-SA 3.0 (creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/): *Atrociraptor marshalli*, *Balaur bondoc*, *Deinonychus antirrhopus*, and *Sinornithosaurus millenii* by Emily Willoughby; *Mahakala omnogovae* by Scott Reid; *Tianyuraptor ostromi* by Mette Aumala; *Saurornitholestes langstoni* and *Velociraptor mongoliensis* by Scott Hartman. Shared under CC BY 4.0 (creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/): *Buitreraptor gonzalezorum* by Ivan Iofrida.

premaxillary alveolus); in fact, Barsbold & Osmolska (1999) and Currie & Evans (2020) list four premaxillary teeth in *Velociraptor*. Even if a detailed analysis is beyond the scope of this review, a brief discussion of the challenges involved in defining these diagnostic traits is warranted.

The well-known arched nasal bone is one of the most peculiar characters historically associated with *Velociraptor*. While this trait is observed in the holotype and several other specimens, including MPD-C 100/24, 100/25 and 100/ 986, it is absent in *Deinonychus* (Brownstein, 2021) and taxa referred to saurornitholestines (Currie & Evans, 2020). However, the nasals are absent in several relevant taxa, including “*V.*” *osmolskae*, *Adasaurus* and *Shri*, making uncertain the autapomorphic status of this trait.

The lack of overlap between the recovered topologies in the phylogenetic analyses published in the literature (e.g., Wang et al., 2022; Czepeński, 2023) highlights the ongoing uncertainty and a missing consensus on the diagnosis of this genus. This inconsistency raises questions about whether the current diagnostic framework is sufficient to capture the morphological variation within the taxon.

Future work should aim to resolve these discrepancies by integrating new fossil descriptions (such as MPC-D 100/982) with updated phylogenetic analyses. Until such progress is made, any discussion of *Velociraptor*’s diagnostic characters remains tentative.

General anatomy

Velociraptor had a slender, agile body with a length of up to two metres and stood around 1 m tall at the hip (Kielan-Jaworowska & Barsbold, 1972; Norell & Makovicky, 1997; Norell & Makovicky, 1999). The skull of *Velociraptor* was particularly elongated (Fig. 3) with a slender snout bearing sharp, ziphodont teeth (Barsbold & Osmolska, 1999). This animal is characterised by using only two toes to walk and by possessing a raised sickle-shaped ungual phalanx, as seen in other basal paravian (Li et al., 2007).

Skull

The following general (but not exhaustive) description of the *Velociraptor* skull is based on AMNH 6515, MPC-D 100/25,



Fig. 6 - Dorsolateral view of MPC-D 100/986 tail.

MPC-D 100/54, MPC-D 100/982, and IMM 99NM-BYM-3/3. The skull is characterised by its elongated and slender shape. The nasals display a peculiar dorsally concave curvature that is unique among dromaeosaurid taxa that preserve the nasal as discussed above. The antorbital region occupies approximately two-thirds of the entire skull length, which is a typical ratio among predatory theropods. The premaxilla in *Velociraptor mongoliensis* is longer than tall, whereas the opposite condition is observed in the *Velociraptor* specimen MPC-D 100/982 (Fig. 2). The narial opening is oval and anteroposteriorly longer than taller; anterior to it, a small prenasal fossa pointing ventrally is present. Posterodorsally, the premaxilla contacts the nasals, whereas ventrally it articulates with the maxilla through an extremely elongated subnasal process that reaches far posterior to the naris. The maxilla is triangular in shape, with a concave posterior margin defined by the anterior arc of the antorbital fenestra. The antorbital fossa also houses at least two more antorbital openings (the maxillary fenestra and 1-2 promaxillary foramina), of which the smallest one reaches the anterior tip of the antorbital fossa. The maxillary fenestra is centred on the antorbital fossa and well-removed from its ventral edge. The maxilla bears 11 tooth sockets. Maxilla and premaxilla proportions have been used recently to shed light on the systematics of Eudromaeosauria. In *Velociraptor* the antorbital fenestra and the maxilla are more elongated than in other dromaeosaurids (e.g., Powers et al., 2021a; Czepiński, 2023). The presence of a prefrontal remains unknown. The nasals are located dorsal to the maxilla and contact anteroventrally the premaxilla, with which they form the external naris opening, whereas posteroventrally they contact the dorsal ramus of the maxilla. Posteriorly, the nasal contacts the frontal, and posterolaterally the lacrimal. The lacrimal is roughly T-shaped; the ventral ramus is straight and thin in lateral view and is incised by the antorbital fossa rostrally. Its ventralmost portion flares to assume a triangular shape, which contacts the jugal. The junction of the three rami forms a low, laterally projecting lacrimal crest. The lacrimal forms the posterodorsal margin of the antorbital fenestra and the anterodorsal margin of the orbital fenestra. The frontal is four times longer than wide, as well as four times longer than the parietals, with which it contacts posteriorly. The parietals are fused and have a complex three-dimensional shape; they form the posteromedial quarter of the upper temporal fenestra. They bear a low midline sagittal crest, as is typical for dromaeosaurids. The upper temporal fenestra is roughly rounded, with its posterolateral margin defined by the squamosal and its anterior margin defined by a sigmoidal ridge extending from the postorbital and across the dorsal surface of each parietal (Currie, 1995). The lower temporal fenestra is roughly reniform in shape, its dorsal margins are formed by the postorbital and the squamosal anteriorly and posteriorly, respectively, and its ventral margin by the jugal and quadratojugal (respectively anteriorly and posteriorly). The jugal is roughly triangular, with the dorsal ramus contacting the descending process of the postorbital anteroventrally, the anterior ramus contacting the posterior ramus of the maxilla, and the posterior ramus contacting the anterior ramus of the quadratojugal laterally. The quadratojugal also has three rami and has an inverted 'T' shape like in *Deinonychus* (Ostrom, 1969) and *Tsagaan* (Norell et al., 2006). The dorsal ramus contacts the descending prequadratic process of the squamosal and the lateral

process of the quadrate shaft, the medial side of the ventral ramus contacts the jugal, and the posterior process contacts the lateral side of the quadrate condyles. The angle between the dorsal and posterior rami forms the border of an enlarged quadrate foramen characteristic of dromaeosaurids.

The braincase is poorly pneumatized compared to other paravians, especially in derived troodontids. The anterior tympanic recess forms a small pocket posterior to the exit for cranial nerve V and ventral to that of cranial nerve VII (Norell & Makovicky, 2004). The dorsal tympanic recess presents as a deep pocket opening dorsolaterally near the base of the paroccipital process, whereas the posterior tympanic recess forms a pocket adjacent to the middle ear opening. Ventrally, the basisphenoid is perforated by a pair of foramina leading into the basisphenoid recess.

The dentary is thin and elongated, with the anteriormost portion slightly curved dorsally; it possesses 14–15 tooth sockets. At its posterior margin, the dentary articulates with the surangular and angular at the external mandibular fenestra, contributing only a small portion of its anterior margin. This fenestra is elongated, four times anteroposteriorly longer than dorsoventrally tall, oval, and its posterior margin is slightly tilted ventrally. More posteriorly, the surangular fenestra is relatively small and overhung by a prominent surangular shelf, as in many dromaeosaurids. The sizes, proportions, and orientation of these two openings can slightly vary from specimen to specimen. The splenial is exposed on the lateral side of the mandible, but the extension of this exposure shows some intraspecific variability as well. It cannot be excluded that this variability might also be caused by different taphonomical factors.

Regarding the dentition, the premaxilla is characterised by four teeth with a slight curvature, with the two anterior teeth being of the same size and approximately twice the size of the third and fourth teeth in specimen GIN 1000124 (Barsbold & Osmólska, 1999). The maxilla is characterised by 11 slender, posteriorly curved teeth, with every second tooth being longer than the adjacent ones, at least in the anterior half of the tooth row (Barsbold & Osmólska, 1999). The dentary in the holotype has a tooth count ranging from 14 to 15 (Barsbold & Osmólska, 1999).

The dentition of *Velociraptor* exhibits several distinctive dental traits characteristic of dromaeosaurids, particularly within Velociraptorinae. Following the review by Hendrickx et al. (2019) the teeth are ziphodont, featuring denticulated mesial and distal carinae in at least some lateral teeth, with a denticle size disparity index (DSI, see Hendrickx et al., 2019) typically exceeding 1.2. Notably, in most lateral teeth, the mesial carina does not extend to the cervix, a feature observed in all velociraptorines except *Tsaagan*, whose mesial denticulate carina is absent. Additionally, some lateral teeth display a figure-of-eight-shaped cross-sectional outline at the base of the crown, a feature present in most velociraptorine taxa other than *Deinonychus*.

The mesial dentition of *Velociraptor* is characterised by the lack of serrations along the mesial carina, which is either entirely absent or smooth. The distal carina, however, remains denticulated, consistent with the condition observed in most theropod clades. Additionally, *Velociraptor* exhibits fluted labial surfaces on its mesialmost teeth, a feature also documented in *Ceratosaurus* and *Scipionyx* (Hendrickx et al., 2019). The number of flutes present on

the first premaxillary tooth (Rpm1) in *Velociraptor* (AMNH 6515), ranges from three to six (Hendrickx et al., 2019).

In lateral teeth, *Velociraptor* conforms to the general pattern observed in Velociraptorinae, with denticulated mesial and distal carinae, albeit with the mesial carina failing to reach the cervix. Some lateral teeth display longitudinal ridges. The absence of apically hooked denticles differentiates *Velociraptor* from some other theropods, as it instead possesses symmetrically to asymmetrically convex serrations (Hendrickx et al., 2019).

Postcranium

The following description of the *Velociraptor* postcranium is based on MPC-D 100/25, MPC-D 100/54, MPC-D 100/976, MPC-D 100/2000, MPC-D 100/982, MPC-D 100/986, MPC-D 100/985, MPC-D 100/3503. Although the postcranium of *Velociraptor* is often used alongside that of *Deinonychus* as an exemplar of eudromaeosaurian anatomy, no autapomorphies have been identified in the literature, nor do any postcranial traits optimise as such in either of the two published phylogenetic analyses reviewed herein.

Vertebral column: the neck is strongly curved, powerfully built, and includes ten short and robust cervicals with wide neural arches and prominent epipophyses. Cervical ribs are short and are fused to their respective vertebrae in some specimens (MPD-C 100/976, 100/986). Anterior trunk vertebrae bear large hypapophyses. More posterior trunk vertebrae have centra that are much taller than long and bear laterally projected parapophyses that extend out from their base for a distance comparable to the diameter of their articular surface (Norell & Makovicky, 1999). All presacral vertebrae save the last one or two have pneumatic foramina on their centra. The sacrum comprises five vertebrae in most specimens, though six are present in some larger and presumably older individuals. Sacra exhibit partially fused neural spines.

The tail comprises around 25 vertebrae, and the transition point between proximal and distal caudals occurs around the seventh caudal vertebra. Extremely elongated prezygapophyses and stiffened tendons originate from the posteriormost available vertebra and more anterior elements, and reach proximally to the fourth caudal. These structures would have made the majority of the tail very rigid.

Pectoral girdle. It is composed of scapulocoracoids, the furcula (Fig. 7), and paired sternal plates. At least three ossified sternal ribs articulate with each sternal plate. The scapular blade is narrow and in lateral view widens slightly distal to the glenoid. The suture between the scapula and coracoid cannot be distinguished. Scapulocoracoids are arched all along their length and prominently flex at the base of the scapula. The coracoids are large, quadrangular, and flexed at the level of the coracoid tubercle, thus shifting the attached scapula onto the dorsal region of the ribcage. Each coracoid bears a large subglenoid shelf along the posterior edge. The furcula is thin, apneumatic, and its lateral rami are set at an obtuse angle to each other and are slightly arched along their lengths.

Forelimb. The humerus is robust with a relatively long deltopectoral crest. The ulna is more robust than the radius, though not to the degree seen in e.g. *Microraptor*, and has a posteriorly




Fig. 7 - Furcula of MPC-D 100/976 modified from [Norrell et al. \(1997\)](#) (a) and line-drawing of it (c).

curved shaft. Among dromaeosaurids, *Velociraptor* possessed a relatively large manus with three elongated digits, each culminating in a strongly curved ungual. Of the three digits, the second is the longest, whereas the first digit is the shortest, most robust, and bears the largest ungual. The arrangement of carpal bones prevented the pronation of the wrist, compelling the manus to be held with the palmar surface facing medially, close to the trunk, while in a resting position.

Pelvic girdle. The pelvic bones do not show pneumatic foramina. The ilium is dolichoiliac, and the preacetabular portion is shorter than the postacetabular one. In dorsal view, the upper border of each ilium exhibits a sigmoid profile, laterally concave anteriorly and convex posteriorly ([Norell & Makovicky, 1997](#)). One of the most striking features of the *Velociraptor* pelvis is the degree of pubic retroversion (155° between pubis and ilium). This degree of retroversion is more similar to *Archaeopteryx lithographica* than to *Deinonychus antirrhopus* ([Ostrom, 1974](#)). The ischia are about half as long as the pubes; they are not fused, but they contact each other distally and have flattened shafts with a rounded lateral ridge as in other dromaeosaurids; the ischial proximal end is anteroposteriorly expanded, making the bone T-shaped in lateral view.

Hindlimb. The femur is typically paravian in having a trochanteric crest, globular head offset by a distinct neck, and a prominent posterior trochanter and trochanteric shelf ([Norell & Makovicky, 1999](#); [Hutchinson, 2001](#)). The fourth trochanter is variably developed, being reduced in most specimens but larger in at least one individual. The crus is marked by a slender fibula that reaches the ankle, a more robust tibia, and proximal tarsals in which the astragalus makes up ~80% of the distal articulation and bears a tall, triangular ascending process. The metatarsus is compact and relatively short compared to unenlagiines, microraptorines, and troodontids, but similar to other eudromaeosaurs like *Deinonychus* ([Ostrom, 1969](#)). Metatarsal III has a robust shaft that is well exposed in both extensor and plantar views. The foot features four digits, of which the first is reduced as in most theropods. The second digit bore a relatively large, sickle-shaped claw, a characteristic trait shared among dromaeosaurid and troodontid dinosaurs. This second digit, renowned for its unique anatomy in *Velociraptor*, was highly modified and held retracted above the ground, so the

animal would have walked and run mostly only on digits III and IV ([Barsbold, 1983](#); [Norell & Makovicky, 1997](#)).

Feathers

The study of feathers in non-avian dinosaurs has witnessed remarkable advancements in the last two decades, shedding light on the potential existence of feathered forelimbs in Velociraptorinae and plumage covering almost the entire body in some dromaeosaurids.

Velociraptor specimens, particularly those collected by the joint Mongolian Academy of Sciences-American Museum of Natural History (MAE-AMNH) expeditions, played a significant role in the debate on the origin of birds during the 1990s and early 2000s. Detractors of the hypothesis that birds are dinosaurs argued that the similarities between birds and theropod dinosaurs, including and especially *Velociraptor*, were either due to convergent evolution ([Feduccia, 1999](#)) or, in some cases, proposed even more radical ideas, such as dromaeosaurids being descended from birds ([Feduccia et al., 2007](#)). Papers such as [Norell et al. \(1997\)](#), which documented the presence of a furcula in *Velociraptor mongoliensis* MPC-D 100/976 (Fig. 6), played a critical role in reinforcing the theropod-bird link. This finding was met with strong opposition from critics like [Feduccia & Martin \(1998\)](#) thought for reasons based on unsupported assumptions. Similarly, debates surrounding the alleged 'hypopubic cup' of *Archaeopteryx* ([Ruben et al., 1997](#); [Norell & Makovicky, 1999](#)) were also relevant in shaping the discussion.

Direct evidence of feathers in dromaeosaurids first came to light in 1999 with the discovery of *Sinornithosaurus* ([Xu et al., 1999](#)). The presence of winglike arrangements of pennaceous feathers on the forelimbs of dromaeosaurids *Zhenyuanlong* ([Lü & Brusatte, 2015](#)) and *Microraptor* ([Xu et al., 2003](#)), as well as in other outgroups, renders it parsimonious that they were also present in *Velociraptor*. [Turner et al. \(2007\)](#) identified small bumps on the posterior side of the ulnar shaft of a specimen referred to *Velociraptor mongoliensis*, which in terms of their number and distribution resemble the quill knobs that are attachment sites of wing feathers in birds. The fragmentary remains described by the authors are insufficient to

support referral to this genus, although Napoli et al. (2021) suggest treating the specimen as an indeterminate velociraptorine. Turner et al. (2007) suggest that the quill knobs could possibly reflect the retention of feathers from smaller volant ancestors, but also have other functions. Thermoregulatory effects were probably insignificant if plumage is restricted to the ulna (Turner et al., 2021), but feathers could instead have been used with display functions (Norell & Xu, 2005), to regulate the temperatures of nests (Hopp & Orsen, 2004), or to complement locomotion during running and while hunting (Dial, 2003).

Jasinski et al. (2022) describe papillae on the ulnar ridge of *Dineobellator notohesperus*, considering them to be evidence that the animal had winglike plumage on its forelimbs. These authors state that while there have been theories that dromaeosaurid wings served as stabilizers during predatory attacks (Fowler et al., 2011) without acting as visual signals that draw the attention of predators or prey, feathers can serve as identifiers, species recognition markers, and/or sexual display elements (Jasinski et al., 2022). Modern raptors demonstrate that sophisticated colour patterns are still possible and can be used to both attract mates and disguise them as predators (e.g., Hill & McGraw, 2006; Holt et al., 1990; Snyder & Snyder, 2006).

The discussion of the potential multiple functions of dromaeosaurid feathers, as well as in any other feathered theropod, highlights the actual need for further investigations into their primary and secondary roles. Beyond the already mentioned ‘traditional’ hypotheses, feathers in Dromaeosauridae could speculatively have served as sensory organs akin to the filoplumes of extant birds, providing a heightened awareness of their surroundings, or being used to communicate through feather movement, such as inflating with feathers, as both during courtship or as a menacing symbol.

VELOCIRAPTOR PALAEOECOLOGY

Feeding habits

The diet of *Velociraptor* is relatively well known thanks to several direct pieces of evidence providing crucial insights into its feeding behaviour. The most famous example of direct evidence is the already mentioned “fighting dinosaurs” specimen from the Upper Cretaceous Djadokhta Formation of Mongolia (Kielan-Jaworowska & Barsbold, 1972; Holtz, 2003). As briefly mentioned above, this remarkable fossil preserves a *Velociraptor* locked in combat with a *Protoceratops andrewsi*, suggesting an active predation event. The dromaeosaurid’s sickle-shaped claw is positioned near the neck of the herbivore, implying a targeted attack strategy, while its left hand grips the frill of the *Protoceratops*. However, alternative interpretations exist, including the possibility that *Velociraptor* was acting in self-defence rather than actively hunting (Osmólska, 1993). Nonetheless, this specimen provides a rare instance of a theropod-prey interaction captured in the fossil record (Carpenter, 1998).

Further evidence supporting *Velociraptor*’s dietary habits derives from taphonomic analysis of bite marks and shed teeth. Fossil specimens from Bayan Mandahu, Inner Mongolia, include *Protoceratops* bones bearing feeding traces attributable

to *Velociraptor*, suggesting that this theropod consumed the neoceratopsian either through active predation or scavenging (Hone et al., 2010). The observed bite marks, particularly those concentrated on the jaw region, indicate, according to the authors, late-stage carcass consumption, which may point toward scavenging rather than primary predation. However, given the prevalence of *Protoceratops* and *Velociraptor* in Djadokhta-equivalent formations, it is reasonable to infer that these interactions were relatively common (Hone et al., 2010).

In addition, the discovery of a pterosaur bone within the chest cavity of a *Velociraptor* provides direct evidence of ingestion, demonstrating that this dromaeosaurid incorporated a diverse range of prey into its diet (Hone et al., 2012).

Concerning indirect evidence of feeding behaviour, most discussions of dromaeosaurid lifestyle have focused on the enlarged raptorial second pedal digit (Norell & Makovicky, 2004) since this is one of the more noteworthy adaptations of this clade. The appearance of the sickle claw on the second toe in paravians might not be strictly related to a hypercarnivorous and a macrophagous diet, more typical of Eudromaeosauria, but instead to a more generalist and opportunistic behaviour observed in basal Dromaeosauridae (Cau et al., 2015; Cau et al., 2017) and troodontids (Zanno & Makovicky, 2010). This specialisation was then later further exploited in Eudromaeosauria to prey on animals twice as large as the predators (as observed in the “fighting dinosaurs”; Carpenter, 1998), or larger still (Maxwell & Ostrom, 1995).

While the sickle claw was initially considered to have been used to slash or even disembowel prey (Ostrom, 1969), functional studies such as that by Manning et al. (2009) indicate that the manus claws, and by extension perhaps also the second pedal ungual, were better suited for puncturing and gripping prey, or for climbing.

Fowler et al. (2011), based primarily on comparisons between the morphology and proportions of the feet and legs of eudromaeosaurs to several groups of extant birds of prey with known predatory behaviours, suggested that the feet of dromaeosaurids like *Deinonychus* primarily had a cursorial or grasping function during hunting. In their scenario, large dromaeosaurids could have killed their prey by leaping and subduing them, holding them steady with the help of the sickle claw on digit II, while using the jaws to kill. Forelimbs were covered in long feathers used to flap or keep balance during raptorial behaviour, helped also by the stiff tail acting as a counterbalance: in fact, according to Fowler et al. (2011) claws were capable of exerting considerable force, although the forelimbs had a limited range of motion and more likely were adapted for flapping and not prey manipulation or climbing.

Bishop (2019) conducted a mathematical analysis of the reconstructed 3D models of muscles, tendons, and bones of *Deinonychus*. The analysis found that the generated claw forces were too weak to slash the soft tissue of prey. On the other hand, coupled with a crouching posture, pedal claws could be effectively used to immobilise or stab the prey. Such a hypothesis is consistent with what is observed in the “fighting dinosaurs” specimen, where *Velociraptor* is found curled up with its claws penetrating the soft tissues of the *Protoceratops* in the belly and neck regions.

Bishop (2019) also suggested that the function of the sickle claw could vary among dromaeosaurids. As an example, Kubota & Barsbold (2006) noted that the claw of *Adasaurus* was relatively smaller when compared with other dromaeosaurids. They therefore suggested that it might have been used with less frequency than in other members of the same clade. One distinct character of the *Adasaurus* sickle claw is the retained ginglymoid proximal end; investigating the ecology and niche of *Adasaurus* might give more hints on the function of such peculiar adaptation in more specialised Dromaeosauridae.

Gianechini et al. (2020) highlight a dichotomy among dromaeosaurid dinosaurs, distinguishing those inhabiting Laurasia, specifically velociraptorines, dromaeosaurines, and other eudromaeosaurs, from their counterparts within Gondwana, the unenlagiine dromaeosaurs. This division is manifested most notably in traits related to locomotor and predatory habits. A shorter second phalanx of digit II with a larger heel for the flexor tendon in eudromaeosaurs facilitated the generation of augmented force by the digit. When coupled with a relatively shorter and wider metatarsus it is conceivable that eudromaeosaurs possessed a heightened gripping strength, making them capable of subduing relatively larger prey. Conversely, unenlagiines featured a longer and slenderer metatarsus, likely giving them superior cursorial abilities and enhanced speed. These differences in pedal anatomy may correlate with differences in cranial and dental features. Unenlagiines have long, slender preorbital regions of the skull (Makovicky et al., 2005; Novas et al., 2009) and relatively small, unserrated, and widely spaced teeth compared to eudromaeosaurs, whose more powerfully built crania were capable of generating high bite forces (Gignac et al., 2010). Disparities in the ecological roles and functional adaptations may have contributed significantly to the evolutionary pathways observed in these distinct groups of dromaeosaurs inhabiting the two hemispheres.

A raptorial behaviour and the ability to grapple and hold prey with feet is also supported by the morphology of the hallux. Hattori (2016) noted that in paravians, the articular surface of MT I for MT II has been reduced to a projecting point at the proximal end, similar to that in extant birds. He therefore suggests that MT I could pivot on MT II in paravians. Hattori (2016) reports these anatomical traits were present in *Velociraptor*. In his interpretation, this adaptation would have allowed the hallux to direct medially, and therefore the forces produced during the flexion of the hallux could be directed perpendicular to that produced by the other digits possibly providing an incipient perching ability and arboreal habits in basal paravians. In derived deinonychosaurians, such morphology could have been co-opted for prey holding, consistently with the previously mentioned hypothesis.

Regarding the rostrum of *Velociraptor*, Powers et al. (2020, 2021a) found that most Asian and North American eudromaeosaurs could be distinguished by the snout morphology and therefore different ecological strategies: Asian velociraptorinaes have elongated snouts, whereas North American eudromaeosaurs possess a more robust rostrum. The Principal Components Analysis conducted by Powers et al. (2020) on maxillary shape in eudromaeosaurians revealed that *Velociraptor* possessed relatively long and shallow maxillae, suggesting an adaptation for preying

on animals much smaller than itself. This contrasts with taxa like *Deinonychus*, which had deeper maxillae suited for attacking larger prey. Given this anatomical evidence, it is likely that *Velociraptor* primarily targeted juvenile dinosaurs, small vertebrates, and opportunistically scavenged larger carcasses.

The overall evidence suggests that *Velociraptor* was an opportunistic carnivore with a broad dietary range, engaging in both predation and scavenging. While the “fighting dinosaurs” fossil provides a rare glimpse into its active hunting strategy, additional fossil evidence, including bite-marked bones and gut contents (Hone et al., 2010, 2012), underscores the complexity of its feeding behaviour. The presence of velociraptorine teeth associated with various herbivorous remains further reinforces its ecological role as a dynamic predator and a scavenger in the Late Cretaceous ecosystems of Mongolia.

Locomotion

Dromaeosaurids were small to medium-sized obligate bipedal theropods, with an estimated weight ranging between 20 to 80 kg and are typically interpreted as agile lightly-built cursors (Ostrom, 1990; Manning et al., 2009). They are characterised by peculiar anatomical specialisations of the limbs, including: i) highly mobile hand-wrist elements; ii) well-developed raptorial hands with three functional digits; iii) the famous hyperextensible and hypertrophied claw on the second toe of the foot; iv) distinctive caudal vertebrae characterised by highly elongated prezygapophyses, which have been interpreted as structures aiding balance during locomotion and running (Ostrom, 1969, 1990; Manning et al., 2009).

In a recent paper, Gianechini et al. (2020) applied phylogenetic principal component analyses to investigate and quantitatively interpret morphological differences in the hind limbs of eudromaeosaurs and unenlagiines, also in comparison with other theropod groups. According to the authors, the detected morphological differences suggest distinct locomotor and predatory adaptations, with eudromaeosaurs, including *Velociraptor*, exhibiting features more specialised for grasping and predation rather than sustained cursoriality. Unenlagiines possessed a more elongated and slender metatarsus, a characteristic associated with greater cursorial capacities, allowing for prolonged high-speed locomotion (Gianechini et al., 2020). In contrast, eudromaeosaurs exhibited a shorter, more robust metatarsus, which likely conferred increased grip strength rather than enhanced running abilities (Fowler et al., 2011). The metatarsal structure in unenlagiines suggests adaptations for sustained running, akin to extant falconiforms, whereas eudromaeosaurs, including *Velociraptor*, had a more compact and mechanically reinforced metatarsus, enabling them to exert a strong grip force (Gianechini et al., 2020), a condition observed in raptorial birds such as owls (Ward et al., 2002; Einoder & Richardson, 2007).

One of the key distinguishing features in eudromaeosaurs is the presence of a robust metatarsus with well-developed ginglymoid distal articular surfaces on metatarsals I, II, and III (Colbert & Russell, 1969; Ostrom, 1969; Norell & Makovicky, 1997, 1999). This morphology suggests a more restricted range of motion in the digits, likely providing increased stability and resistance to

torsional stress during prey manipulation (Fowler et al., 2011). In contrast, unenlagiines exhibited a less developed ginglymoid articulation, allowing for a greater range of digital motion, which may have facilitated rapid adjustments during running rather than enhancing grasping strength (Gianechni et al., 2020). These differences highlight a fundamental divergence in general ecological strategies: eudromaeosaurs optimised their hindlimbs for effective prey capture, while unenlagiine's hindlimbs evolved to enhance locomotor efficiency (Gianechni et al., 2020).

Another significant distinction lies in the proportions of the hindlimb elements. Eudromaeosaurs, such as *Velociraptor*, displayed relatively shorter tibiae and metatarsi in comparison to the femur, leading to a morphology better suited for sudden bursts of speed rather than endurance running (Gianechni et al., 2020). This configuration aligns with predatory strategies requiring rapid acceleration over short distances, possibly for ambush hunting or close-range attacks. By contrast, unenlagiines possessed a longer tibia and an elongated subarctometatarsalian metatarsus, features indicative of improved stride efficiency and lower energetic cost during sustained locomotion (Carrano, 1999; Fowler et al., 2011; Gianechni et al., 2020). These differences suggest that while unenlagiines may have been capable of higher overall velocities and prolonged chases, eudromaeosaurs were likely adapted for short, powerful sprints aimed at subduing prey (Gianechni et al., 2020).

Additionally, the pedal phalanges of eudromaeosaurs reflect their predatory adaptations (Gianechni et al., 2020). The elongation of the distal pre-ungual phalanges in *Velociraptor* and its relatives is comparable to extant raptorial birds with strong grasping abilities, such as *Bubo* and *Turdus* (Fowler et al., 2011). This trait, along with a shorter phalanx II-1 and more pronounced interphalangeal ginglymoid articulations, suggests that eudromaeosaurs relied on their feet for prey restraint, possibly using their second pedal digit as a lethal gripping tool (Ostrom, 1969; Fowler et al., 2011). Unenlagiines, in contrast, exhibited more gracile phalanges with reduced ginglymoid articulation, further supporting the hypothesis of a cursorial rather than grasping-oriented function (Gianechni et al., 2020).

A comparative analysis with extant raptorial birds supports the inference that eudromaeosaurs, like *Velociraptor*, prioritised grasping strength over cursorial efficiency. Birds with robust and shorter metatarsi, such as owls, exhibit powerful grip forces, whereas those with elongated metatarsi, like falcons, emphasise speed and agility (Ward et al., 2002; Einoder & Richardson, 2007; Fowler et al., 2009). Similarly, *Velociraptor*'s hindlimb proportions indicate a biomechanical advantage in forceful prey restraint rather than endurance running. These adaptations highlight a trade-off between cursoriality and grasping efficiency, with unenlagiines evolving towards greater terrestrial mobility and eudromaeosaurs refining their hindlimbs for specialised predation (Gianechni et al., 2020).

Gianechni et al. (2020) concluded that the morphological differences between the hindlimbs of unenlagiines and eudromaeosaurs, including *Velociraptor*, underscore their distinct ecological roles. Unenlagiines likely excelled in cursorial locomotion, with an elongated and slender metatarsus allowing for sustained high-speed movement. In contrast, eudromaeosaurs

exhibited a more compact, reinforced hindlimb structure optimised for grasping and prey restraint, at the expense of prolonged running efficiency.

The tail of *Velociraptor* was a key anatomical feature that played a crucial role in their locomotion and balance (e.g., Ostrom, 1969; Holtz, 2003; Norell & Makovicky, 2004) (Fig. 6). Nonetheless, it was not entirely inflexible allowing some important minor movements, and some complete specimens are preserved with curving tails that retain their ossified tendon bundles in articulation (e.g., MPD-C 100/986). The primary function of a rigid tail in *Velociraptor* was likely to maintain balance while running at high speeds. In a fast and agile predator, a well-balanced tail would have helped make sharp turns while running. The tail also may have contributed to stability during various activities, such as stalking, pouncing, and grappling with prey. When engaged in predatory behaviour, maintaining balance was probably essential to avoid injury and ensure a successful hunt. Moreover, a horizontally held, stiff tail might have also reduced air resistance while running. This streamlined posture could have made *Velociraptor* a more efficient runner, conserving energy during fast moving. Increased agility, perhaps in part due to this peculiar tail, in *Velociraptor mongoliensis* is indicated by the relatively large size of the floccular lobes in the endocranium (King et al., 2020).

Metabolism

Tada et al. (2023) recently used *Velociraptor mongoliensis* (MPC-D 100/2000) nasal cavity and brain volumes as proxies to assess its degree of endothermy/ectothermy. The authors found different relationships between nasal cavity size and skull/head size in endothermic taxa versus ectothermic ones in a sample of living amniote taxa. Their analysis found *Velociraptor mongoliensis* to plot between the endothermic and ectothermic best-fit lines. However, without a measure of uncertainty in the form of confidence intervals or similar metrics, it is unclear whether this intermediate position is significant or not. Although this method is based on a single proxy, it is consistent with the results of some other studies: Legendre et al. (2016) estimated resting metabolic rates in a range of living and extinct archosaurs from the sizes and densities of osteocyte lacunae, and found values above that of extant ectotherms, but below those for extant birds, for *Troodon formosus*, a close paravian relative of *Velociraptor*. If nothing else, the results from Tada et al. (2023) indicate that *Velociraptor* exhibits at least one trait consistent with reptilian thermophysiology, specifically a relatively small nasal cavity although, as Legendre et al. (2016) noted, the division of taxa into discrete ectothermic and endothermic bins based on the physiology of living species may be too crude to capture the variation and its significance in fossil forms.

Comparing encephalisation quotients, although this is not a widely accepted proxy for metabolic rates, coelurosaurs were suggested to be as active as many birds and mammals, whereas less derived theropods and ornithopods were slightly less active than birds and mammals, but more active than extant reptiles (Hopson, 1980; Fastovsky & Weishampel, 2009). Conversely to the results of Tada et al. (2023), Seymour et al. (2012) found that foramen size and blood flow in extant mammals are directly proportional to metabolic

rates, and therefore reported similar dinosaur large foramina should indicate a routinely highly active and aerobic lifestyle, possibly linked to endothermy. One more aspect regarding *Velociraptor* is that, as in other maniraptorans, some ribs possessed an uncinate processes on the posterior margin. These are proportionately as long as in extant diving birds and their length was found to be related to bird's oxygen requirements (shorter in walking birds, longer in diving birds); this would suggest that some maniraptorans had high oxygen requirements (Tickle et al., 2007; Codd et al., 2008; see also Fisher et al., 2000). Also, the presence of air sacs in theropods (e.g., Naish et al., 2004; O'Connor & Claessens, 2005) can be interpreted as evidence of an increased respiratory capacity and efficiency, linked to a high metabolic rate. Air sacs might have played a role in thermoregulating body temperatures as well. Indeed, the presence of feathering itself, possibly functioning as an insulator, can be interpreted as further evidence for endothermy, or at least as evidence of elevated metabolic rates.

Consequently, even though, Tada et al. (2023) results tend to show an intermediate condition between the reptilian and the avialan physiologic condition, other evidence (of which here we provide only some spare examples) tends to suggest that the condition of dinosaurs, especially derived theropods, was closer to extant bird's endothermy. Yet we have to consider that the avialan endothermic state (which varies even between living species) must have been reached through a series of evolutionary steps along a continuum. Numerous proxies support an elevated metabolic rate relative to extant reptiles, but it is not clear if *Velociraptor* had rates comparable to extant birds. A "mosaic" of adaptations, many of them more similar to the avialan endothermic state and few to the reptilian ectothermic state (such as a small nasal cavity), is expected.

Social behaviour: pack hunting and living in groups

Several lines of evidence indicate that dromaeosaurids were gregarious dinosaurs. Maxwell & Ostrom (1995) interpreted the taphonomy of several *Tenontosaurus-Deinonychus* associations, including the *Deinonychus* type locality as showing evidence of multiple specimens of *Deinonychus* hunting and feeding on the much larger ornithomimid taxon. Li et al. (2007) reported a series of trackways from Shandong, China, where the trackmakers were suggested to be large-sized eudromaeosaurs. The parallel trackways were made by six individuals of the same ontogenetic stage, walking at a slow pace and likely moving together in the same direction. According to the authors, this would suggest that at least some species of dromaeosaur lived in groups, albeit the trackways do not provide evidence for pack hunting. This observed habit offers a glimpse into the potential gregarious tendencies of dromaeosaurs, prompting questions about the factors and benefits that travelling in groups may have conferred. The coexistence of dromaeosaurs within the same ontogenetic stage in group settings raises questions also about their ecological dynamics. Such group living arrangements might imply instances in which individuals of similar ontogenetic stages might have opportunistically targeted the same prey. This does not necessarily imply well-coordinated hunting strategies or habitual pack hunting, but rather the

possibility of chance encounters leading to the convergence of hunting interests. This is consistent with the finding of associated skeletons of *Deinonychus* and one *Tenontosaurus* (Maxwell & Ostrom, 1995; Ostrom, 1969, 1990), supporting a case of opportunistic feeding behaviour (Brinkman et al., 1998), or solitary hunters that occasionally foraged in loose, ephemeral associations (Roach & Brinkmann, 2007).

A study by Frederickson et al. (2020) analysing oxygen isotopes in teeth demonstrated that *Deinonychus* shows ontogenetic diet partitioning, revealing therefore a distinct behaviour from cooperative social mammals. This investigation challenges the preconceived idea of pack hunting and uniform dietary strategies in dromaeosaurs. The authors also found that juvenile and adult teeth were often associated together and hypothesised that, due to their lack of spatial separation from adults, these dromaeosaurs likely employed hunting techniques comparable to those of many avian raptors (Ellis et al., 1993). This would also exclude a Komodo dragon-like behaviour (as speculated by Roach & Brinkmann, 2007) where high levels of intraspecific aggression and cannibalism can be observed and where juveniles actively avoid adult predators (Foster et al., 1988; Werner et al., 1983; Keren-Rotem et al., 2006). On the other hand, Frederickson et al. (2020) suggested that it is possible that dromaeosaurs showed a more ratite-like parental care relationship.

Speaking strictly of *Velociraptor*, there is no particular evidence to state that this taxon generally behaved differently from *Deinonychus*, as depicted in the previous paragraphs, although different size and snout morphologies between the two suggest different prey acquisition methods (Powers et al., 2021a). According to Powers et al. (2021a), a robust snout (such as in *Deinonychus*) would have been beneficial in handling larger prey items. On the other hand, velociraptorines show snout morphologies more like modern small prey specialists, consistent with their ecosystem (Jerzykiewicz et al., 2021). Nonetheless, they were not limited to small vertebrate prey, as exemplified by the "fighting dinosaurs" specimen (Powers et al., 2021a). Other examples of scavenging and/or predatory behaviours in *Velociraptor* were given by Hone et al. (2012), where bones of an azhdarchid pterosaur were found in the stomach content of MPC-D 100/54, and teeth referred to *Velociraptor* were found with protoceratopsid bones (Hone et al., 2012). The latter find is from the Bayan Mandahu locality, where '*Velociraptor osmolskiae*' was collected. This nuanced understanding of snout morphology, dromaeosaurs' habits, and prey selection underscores the versatility of this dinosaur group in preying on very different animals, generally actively hunting small and scavenging on larger prey.

Palaeoneurology

The only palaeoneurological study applied to *Velociraptor* derives from the analysis of a digital endocast of specimen MDP 100/976 by King et al. (2020). The specimen was collected during the 1991 expedition of the Mongolian Academy of Sciences and the American Museum of Natural History from the Djadokhta Formation at Tugrugeen Shireh, Mongolia (Norell et al., 1997). MPC-D 100/976 consists of a partial skeleton including an

incomplete neurocranium comprising the prootics, exoccipitals-opisthotics, supraoccipital, basioccipital, and basisphenoid (Norell et al., 2006; King et al., 2020). It was identified as *Velociraptor mongoliensis* (e.g., Norell et al., 1995, 2006; King et al., 2020).

The virtual brain endocast of MPC-D 100/976, obtained from high-resolution tomographic scan, revealed areas of the medulla oblongata, cerebellum, cerebellar flocculi, and the entire basiencephalic surface corresponding to these elements, including the labyrinth of the inner ear on both sides. Among the most significant elements in the brain of MPC-D 100/976 are the cerebellar flocculi and their relatively large size compared to the rest of the endocast. The flocculi, or floccular lobes, are involved in maintaining the stability of the head and eyes during movement, indicating the agility of a vertebrate (Witmer & Ridgely, 2009). The large size of the floccular fossae, in the case of dromaeosaurids like *Velociraptor* and more generally maniraptorans, can be associated with a pronounced sensitivity in balance regulation during locomotion (King et al., 2020), as observed in modern non-flying birds, where the hypertrophy of the floccular lobes appears to be related to stabilising the inherently unstable nature of bipedal locomotion (Walsh et al., 2013). The hypertrophy of cortical regions such as the cerebellar flocculi explains how fast movements and gaze stabilisation were essential to the life habits of *Velociraptor* (King et al., 2020). Large cerebellar flocculi are also correlated with enhanced vestibulo-ocular (VOR) and vestibulocollic (VCR) reflexes (Hopson, 1977; Witmer & Ridgely, 2009), suggesting that *Velociraptor* was capable of easily tracking moving objects (King et al., 2020). This thesis seems to be reinforced by osteological data on orbital dimensions and semicircular canals in the species (Stevens, 2006; Schmitz & Motani, 2011; Torres & Clarke, 2018).

Another element of interest is the architecture of the inner ear. In life, the endosseous cochlear duct of *Velociraptor* would have housed the basilar papilla, the auditory organ of tetrapods (Gleich et al., 2005; Walsh et al., 2009), where the relationship between duct length and papilla size allows this bony element to be used to indirectly estimate the ability to perceive medium and high-frequency sounds in non-avian dinosaurs (Witmer & Ridgely, 2009; Lautenschlager et al., 2012). It has also been demonstrated that the relationship between the length of the cochlear duct and the basisphenoid is correlated with auditory frequencies in modern archosaurs (Walsh et al., 2009), providing a method for estimating sensitivity to medium and high frequencies in non-avian dinosaurs. Data on the dimensions of the cochlear duct and basisphenoid in MPC-D 100/976 indicate a frequency range centred around 2,368 Hz with an upper limit for high frequencies of 3,965 Hz (King et al., 2020). This is comparable to the range of hearing in modern birds such as the raven (*Corvus corax*) and the African penguin (*Spheniscus demersus*) (Walsh et al., 2009), suggesting an auditory perception range more similar to that of current neognaths than that of basal archosaurs and other reptiles. *Velociraptor* likely had the ability to hunt, hear, and perhaps vocalise efficiently in the range of 2,400 Hz (King et al., 2020).

The elongated structure of the cochlear duct in *Velociraptor* indicates that this species was capable of perceiving a wide range of sounds, indicating that hearing was a sense of significant importance in this species (Manley, 1990; Walsh et al., 2009;

Witmer & Ridgely, 2009; Brusatte et al., 2016; Carabajal et al., 2016). King et al. (2020) also proposed that *Velociraptor* could have used hearing in social interactions as well as active predation, since the size of the cochlear duct of MPC-D 100/976 falls within the range shown by *Melopsittacus undulatus* (budgerigar), a socially vocal learning species. The neuroanatomical evidence of MPC-D 100/976, particularly the link between motor performance and floccular cerebellar size, is supported by the observations of Witmer & Ridgely (2009).

Although Choiniere et al. (2021) highlight the prominent auditory and visual performance of theropods from morphological and functional observations on cranial elements, accompanied by the relative volumes of certain neurocranial regions, few direct parallels can be drawn for *Velociraptor* using the partial brain of MPC-D 100/976. However, the detailed evidence from the specimen's auditory canal, along with the vestibulo-ocular and vestibulocollic performance deduced from the neuroanatomy, do not question the refined visual and auditory sensitivities in *Velociraptor*. Only a detailed analysis of a more complete endocast can unequivocally clarify its sensory potential, additionally supporting or refuting the possible nocturnal habits hypothesised by Schmitz & Motani (2011) (see also Motani & Schmitz, 2011) based on orbit dimensions.

Palaeopathologies

The study of palaeopathologies, their causes, nature, and healing can provide insights into the autecology of the animals that suffered the injury (e.g., Bertozzo et al., 2017, 2021). However, there are relatively few reports of palaeopathologies in *Velociraptor* other velociraptorines.

MPC-D 100/54, a young individual referred to *Velociraptor mongoliensis*, was reported to have a broken and partially healed rib showing signs of regrowth (Hone et al., 2012). The *Velociraptor mongoliensis* MPC-D 100/976 braincase shows a reported unhealed bite mark in the form of punctures on the frontal bone. It was considered to be caused by an intraspecific agonistic interaction since the punctures show the spacing between neighbouring teeth of a specimen roughly the same size as MPC-D 100/976 (Norell et al., 1995; Norell & Makovicky, 1999; Molnar, 2001). The unhealed nature of this bite mark strongly suggests that it proved fatal, shedding light on intraspecific interactions and potential confrontations within the species.

Among other velociraptorines, the holotype of *Adasaurus* was initially suggested to represent a largely pathologic individual, possibly due to serious injury or a specific disease (Norell & Makovicky, 1997, 2004). However, a re-examination of the specimen by Turner et al. (2012) determined that the holotype was non-pathological. Instead, certain periosteal features were attributed to advanced age rather than injuries. This reevaluation highlights the significance of careful research in separating bone pathologies from age-related changes in dinosaur remains.

Roach & Brinkman (2007) published photographs of a pathological phalanx II-2 of *Deinonychus*, which healed with a large offset between proximal and distal sections following a fracture. They interpreted this pathology as being consistent with pedal digit

It encountering significant stresses when subduing prey, leading to injury in one individual.

Recent findings by [Jasinski et al. \(2022\)](#) regarding *Dineobellator* have unveiled evidence of re-growth and remodelling in several skeletal elements, including ribs, premaxillary fragments, caudal vertebrae, and manual unguals. In particular, one of the ribs shows an abnormal bone growth in its proximal region.

In summary, while the palaeopathological evidence reported in velociraptorines does not give a complete picture of their behaviour, it does provide some clues about their resilience to injuries, intraspecific interactions, changes with age, and possibly on the use of the hallmark second pedal claw.

Palaeoenvironments

The palaeoenvironment of *Velociraptor* can be reconstructed based on the sedimentological features of the Djadokhta Formation at the Flaming Cliffs locality in Mongolia. Comparisons between the Djadokhta and the overlying Nemegt Formation highlight significant differences in palaeoenvironmental conditions, with the first reflecting a harsher, drier setting, while the second one indicating a more humid, fluvial-dominated environment capable of supporting dinosaur species of larger size ([Clarke & Norell, 2004](#)). In particular, the fossiliferous deposits of the Djadokhta Formation primarily consist of poorly cemented, fine-grained arkosic sandstone with large-scale cross-stratification and occasional caliche horizons, indicating aeolian dune deposits and associated playa lake sediments ([Gradziński et al., 1977](#); [Ostrom, 1990](#)). These sedimentary features suggest that the region experienced at least semi-arid conditions, indicating possible high plateau landscapes. The palaeoenvironment was likely shaped by episodic climatic variability, where arid periods dominated, interspersed with occasional wetter intervals that facilitated the formation of ephemeral water bodies ([Dashzeveg et al., 2005](#); [Novacek et al., 2022](#)). These transient aquatic environments may have played a crucial role in sustaining the local fauna, including *Velociraptor*. As a whole, the low diversity of vertebrate assemblages, combined with the small body sizes of many dinosaur species, implies an ecosystem in which resource competition was significant, potentially influencing the predatory behaviour and ecological adaptations of theropods ([Godefroit et al., 2008](#)).

Additionally, the sedimentological evidence from the Flaming Cliffs suggests that sandstorms were a common occurrence, as indicated by the presence of well-preserved and articulated dinosaur skeletons buried in structureless sandstones (e.g., the already mentioned famous “fighting dinosaurs”). These specimens, often found in lifelike poses, imply that some individuals perished while attempting to free themselves from sandstorm deposits ([Jerzykiewicz et al., 1993](#)).

The taphonomic evidence from *Velociraptor* fossils may provide further evidence to reconstruct its peculiar palaeoenvironment of life. In particular, fossilised bones exhibit trace marks attributed to scavenging activity by insects and small mammals, particularly on limb joints where collagen-rich cartilage was present ([Saneyoshi et al., 2011](#)). The high frequency of these traces suggests that in the nitrogen-poor desert environment of the Late Cretaceous

Gobi Desert, dried dinosaur carcasses served as a critical nutritional resource for smaller organisms ([Saneyoshi et al., 2011](#)). Additionally, the rapid burial of some dinosaur remains within aeolian deposits suggests that sandstorms played a significant role in fossil preservation, allowing for exceptional skeletal articulation and the preservation of delicate anatomical features ([Fastovsky et al., 1997](#)).

The broader palaeoenvironmental context of the Djadokhta Formation can be understood within a regional framework, with depositional environments at sites such as Tugrikin Shireh and Bayan Mandahu exhibiting strong similarities; this indicates that the southern Gobi Desert during the Late Cretaceous consisted of geographically limited basins formed by block faulting ([Fastovsky et al., 1997](#)). These basins likely contained a combination of aeolian dune fields and alluvial runoff zones, suggesting a complex mosaic of depositional environments that shaped local ecosystems ([Eberth, 1993](#)). Such a setting would have necessitated behavioural adaptations in *Velociraptor*, potentially including a high degree of territoriality and an opportunistic feeding strategy to cope with fluctuations in prey availability.

Thus, considering all the sedimentary and taphonomic evidence, the palaeoenvironment of *Velociraptor*, as inferred from the Djadokhta Formation, reveals a complex and challenging habitat marked by arid to semi-arid conditions, episodic moisture availability, and frequent sandstorm events. It follows that *Velociraptor* thrived in a resource-scarce ecosystem where adaptability, efficient predation, and scavenging behaviours represented very likely key survival strategies.

VELOCIRAPTOR ICONOGRAPHY: EVOLUTION OVER TIME AND INFLUENCE ON POPULAR CULTURE

Over the years, *Velociraptor* has been reconstructed by numerous palaeoartists, with varying degrees of accuracy ([Manucci & Romano, 2023](#)) (Fig. 8). Despite its status as one of the most depicted and popular dinosaurs, it remained largely unknown to the general public for over half a century, until the discovery of the “fighting dinosaurs” in 1971. This exceptional specimen is a true fossil treasure for both science communication and paleoart, as it captures a moment in time, providing an ideal basis for inferring interactions between Mesozoic species and for artistic reconstruction of those interactions. As [Barsbold \(1998\)](#) stated, “*The Fighting Dinosaurs are among the most unique examples in the paleontological record ever discovered during the long history of this science*”.

The first reconstruction of *Velociraptor*, illustrating its battle with *Protoceratops*, appeared in 1975 in a book illustrated by Giovanni Caselli ([Halstead, 1975](#)), which featured many new discoveries and previously overlooked taxa, including the first ‘in-vivo’ reconstruction of *Spinosaurus*. However, Caselli’s *Velociraptor* lacked the characteristic sickle claw on its foot. In the image, *Protoceratops* passively endures the attack, and [Halstead \(1975\)](#) emphasises the prey’s vulnerability in the text, noting that “*Its beak was adapted for cutting tough plants, not for fighting*”. This interpretation is contradicted by the fossil itself, in which the right




Fig. 8 - Iconographic history of *Velociraptor* through the last 50 years.

forearm of the *Velociraptor* is grasped by the clenched beak of the *Protoceratops*, effectively preventing the predator's escape.

The same year, the well-known palaeoartist Zdenek Burian also illustrated *Velociraptor*, portraying it in a dynamic pose, but reconstructing its head with proportions more consistent with *Deinonychus*, a mistake repeated by the Jurassic Park franchise. This error was partly due to the difficulty in obtaining reference materials, as the available photographs showed a partially prepared stage of the fossil, and the specimen still lacks a comprehensive study detailing all its skeletal elements, despite being one of the most famous fossils in the world. Burian likely relied on the renowned monograph on *Deinonychus* (Ostrom, 1969), which was richly illustrated, and he assumed the two animals were similar, even though the outline of the *Velociraptor* skull was well-known since the paper by Osborn (1924). It is noteworthy that Burian chose to depict the animal alongside another reptile from the Djadokhta Formation, the squamate *Gilmoreteius*, a genus for which an osteological study had just been published in 1975 (Sulimski, 1975).

Other reconstructions from this period include works by artists such as Gregory Irons (Long, 1978) and William Stout, both of whom were also active in projects related to comics and animation. This period marks the entry of dromaeosaurids into the collective imagination, primarily due to the book illustrated by Caselli (Halstead, 1975), which served as an inspiration for the comic "Flesh" (1977-2016) by Pat Mills (Liston, 2010). The comic featured *Deinonychus*, portrayed dynamically and inspired by the latest discoveries. William Stout (Stout, 1981) would later inspire many subsequent pop creations; one of these, "The Natural History Project" by Jim Henson of 1986, was intended to be an animated film inspired by Stout's palaeoart, but the project was never completed and was eventually abandoned. Among Stout's concept art was *Velociraptor*, in a version that even included speculative sparse plumage, albeit in a caricatured and grotesque style.

During the 1980s, more accurate reconstructions emerged, thanks to the rigorous work of palaeoartists such as Mark Hallett (Waldrop & Loomis, 1984) and Gregory Paul (Paul, 1988), who were

also the first to envision *Velociraptor* as fully feathered, anticipating the discoveries of feathered dromaeosaurids from China in the following decades. Paul proposed the first skeletal drawing, and his volume "Predatory Dinosaurs of the World" (Paul, 1988) soon became the reference that transformed *Velociraptor* into a popular star. This anatomical diagram, presented in multiple perspective views and inspired by the fighting dinosaur specimen, remains one of the best visual references for reconstructing the animal and was immediately adopted as a model by many other palaeoartists. Other notable but more conservative reconstructions from this period were realised by Renzo Zanetti (Bonaparte et al., 1984), Douglas Henderson (Wilford, 1985), John Sibbick (Norman, 1985; Gardom & Milner, 1993), and Steve Kirk (Dixon et al., 1988), where the skin and limb musculature were more inspired by those of living reptiles. In some "intermediate" versions, limited coverage of proto-feathers along the back or head was imagined, as in the reconstructions by Wayne Barlowe (Preiss & Silverberg, 1992) and Brian Franczak (Wallace, 1993). Some new reconstructions inspired by the fighting dinosaurs, particularly those by Hallett (Czerkas & Czerkas, 1990), Sibbick (Gardom & Milner, 1993), Luis Rey (Rey, 2001), and Raul Martin (Chiappe, 2003; Fig. 9) proposed a scenario where the predator had to defend itself from *Protoceratops*, which was no longer as harmless as initially imagined by Halstead (1975), a view also consolidated in a study on the specimen by Carpenter (1998).

Many of these artists were featured in the exhibition "Dinosaurs Past and Present" (1986), curated by Stephen and Sylvia Czerkas, and among the visitors to the inaugural edition in Los Angeles was the writer Michael Crichton, who discovered the potential of the new dinosaur discoveries through this event. The first popular debut for *Velociraptor* is due to the well-known novel "Jurassic Park" by Michael Crichton published in 1990, and especially to its film adaptation by director Steven Spielberg, released in 1993. In both the book and the impactful film, these dinosaurs are portrayed as fearsome, intelligent predators, hunting in packs. The "raptors" in the movie are about twice the actual size and are inspired by *Deinonychus*, as Gregory Paul himself declared in an article recounting his role as a scientific advisor




Fig. 9 - The “fighting dinosaur”, artwork by Raul Martin, from Chiappe (2003).

for the film (Paul, 2017), for which he realised various anatomical diagrams. The erroneous use of the name is based on the taxonomy proposed by Paul (1988), which was one of the references for the novel and in which *Velociraptor* was suggested as the sole genus for both *Velociraptor mongoliensis* and *Deinonychus antirrhopus*. Despite Paul (1988) and others (e.g., Bakker, 1986) hypothesising extensive feathering in dromaeosaurids, based on phylogenetic grounds, there was no supporting fossil evidence for it until the discovery of *Sinornithosaurus* (Xu et al., 1999). At the time of the film’s release, there was, in fact, no direct evidence of the presence of feathers in these theropods. In 2007, small marks that resemble the attachment sites of wing feathers in birds were identified on the ulna of a *Velociraptor* specimen, thus indicating that this dinosaur likely had feathers (Turner et al., 2007).

These groundbreaking discoveries have not yet been fully embraced by popular culture, which is still dominated by cinematic portrayal, as a quick Google Images search can demonstrate. Although *Velociraptor* has gone from being obscure to one of the most recognisable dinosaurs to the public, it has also remained one of the most misunderstood. For over 20 years, no palaeoartist has depicted this animal with partial feathering, in line with fossil evidence. However, before these discoveries, this vision of the animal attracted scepticism even among researchers, as palaeoartist Luis Rey, one of the first to promote the new image of feathered dromaeosaurids, wrote in 1996: “*I have suffered somewhat with my restorations of feathered dinosaurs, becoming blacklisted by the very paleontological community here in England. However, every time they want a ‘fantasy’ dinosaur, they remember me. Sad, really, because I try to be as rigorous as possible in my anatomical reconstructions and I tend to honor requests to draw featherless theropods*” (Debus & Debus, 2002).

During the 1990s, Luis Rey was also among the first to sculpt a life-sized model of *Velociraptor* with feathers (Rey, 2001); the subsequent discovery of *Sinornithosaurus* would confirm how these early, “extreme” reconstructions (without wings) were actually cautious compared to what was later revealed by the fossils themselves. In some cases, even the popular image has undergone

significant updates. In the Disney movie “Dinosaur” (2000), the inspiration returned to the actual genus *Velociraptor*, instead of *Deinonychus*, and various palaeoartists were involved in the realisation of the preparatory studies. David Krentz proposed a design for *Velociraptor* that included feathers, unfortunately discarded due to the greater complexity of 3D rendering. Advances in technology and the discovery of an increasing number of feathered species have led to many innovations: the documentary series “Dinosaur Planet” (2003), supervised by Scott D. Sampson, dedicates an entire episode to *Velociraptor* and its ecosystem, finally reconstructing the animal with dense plumage. In 2011, it was depicted in the series “Dinosaur Revolution,” again led by palaeoartist David Krentz. Recently, an even higher level of realism was achieved in the series “Prehistoric Planet” (2022). Once again, this quality was made possible by involving palaeoartists in the creative team, including Gabriel Ugueto, Mark Witton, and David Krentz, along with Scott Hartman for skeletal reconstructions (which include *Velociraptor*) and the palaeontologists Darren Naish, John Hutchinson and Steve Brusatte; in many other documentary productions, this does not occur, sometimes resulting in representations more inspired by cinema than palaeontology. Behaviourally, all these series depict *Velociraptor* as gregarious and hunting in small groups. Partly this derives from initial hypotheses about social hunting in *Deinonychus* (Ostrom, 1969), the plausibility of which has subsequently been questioned by taphonomic evidence and is now of dubious value (Roach & Brinkman, 2007). The hypothesis that they temporarily formed small groups is not impossible, especially considering the varied social behaviours among closely related animal species today, and the hypothesis has been suggested again to explain some track sites where multiple individuals likely moved together (Li et al., 2007), as supported in other dinosaur groups. Citing the study by Li et al. (2007): “*Because the sedimentology indicates a relatively rapid emplacement and subsequent burial of the trackways, a scenario in which six similarly sized individuals independently generated parallel, regularly spaced, non-overlapping trackways is highly unlikely*”. Only further discoveries will provide a more complete idea of the ethology of different dromaeosaurids, confirming or disproving the hypothesis of a solitary predator or, conversely, a broader spectrum of behaviours.

A hundred years after its discovery, new fossils and analyses have revealed the most probable appearance of *Velociraptor*. Although direct evidence of feathers and pack-hunting remains elusive, it is doubtless that future discoveries and new methods will continue to reveal new aspects of *Velociraptor* biology as palaeontologists labour to bring this ancient predator “back to life”.

Reconstruction of *Velociraptor*

Figure 10 shows our updated reconstruction of *Velociraptor mongoliensis*. The plumage on the neck is inspired by that of *Sinornithosaurus*, *Daurilong* (Wang et al., 2022), and other paravians (Li et al., 2010; Lefèvre et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2018; Poust et al., 2020), which in various parts of the body are covered by down or ratite-like feathers, a much simpler plumage compared to that found on the wings of extant birds. In some genera, the neck plumage consists of both pennaceous and down-like feathers, as portrayed

in our reconstruction. We chose a colouration with brownish-red tones, which is common in many terrestrial birds and in fossilised coelurosaurs, where preserved melanosomes and melanin were fossilised (Zhang et al., 2010; Li et al., 2014). Our choices are consistent with the inferred exclusively terrestrial habits, just like in ratites, and in contrast to some dromaeosaurids that were more adapted for glide and whose plumage is also known (Li et al., 2012). The presence of scales on the snout currently lacks direct evidence, as does the presence of a covering over the teeth, based on a series of clues and comparisons with modern reptiles (Cullen et al., 2023). The crests in front of the orbits, often depicted in past reconstructions (Paul, 1988), were excluded from our reconstruction, being incorporated into a continuous tissue (supraorbital membrane) from the lacrimal to the postorbital (Maidment & Porro, 2009; Snively et al., 2013), as typically observed in modern sauropsids.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The centenary of the first description of *Velociraptor* offers a unique opportunity to reflect on the extensive journey of research, interpretation, and cultural integration surrounding this iconic theropod dinosaur. Over the past century, *Velociraptor* has transformed from a relatively obscure fossil to a household name, largely due to its prominent role in popular media. However,

its journey through scientific understanding has been equally transformative, revealing complex insights into its biology, behaviour, and ecology.

The first *Velociraptor* fossil, discovered on August 11, 1923, by a team led by Roy Chapman Andrews from the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH) in the Gobi Desert, marked the beginning of a century of palaeontological exploration and discovery (Gallencamp, 2001). The crushed skull and manual claw found in the Djadokhta Formation at the Flaming Cliffs were pivotal in defining *Velociraptor* distinctive anatomy and predatory capabilities.

Initially, artistic portrayals of *Velociraptor* were somewhat limited, with early reconstructions failing to fully capture its unique features, such as the sickle claw. The discovery of nearly complete skeletons and iconic fossils like the famous “fighting dinosaurs” specimen in 1971, which depicts a *Velociraptor* in combat with a *Protoceratops*, significantly advanced our understanding of its anatomy and behaviour. This specimen highlighted possible predatory tactics and social interactions in *Velociraptor*, challenging earlier assumptions about its behaviour.

The late 20th and early 21st centuries brought a paradigm shift in our understanding of *Velociraptor* and dromaeosaurids in general. The discovery of feathered dromaeosaurids, such as *Sinornithosaurus*, and the subsequent hypothesis that *Velociraptor*



Fig. 10 - Photogrammetric scan of a *Velociraptor* cast (MUST SN1140/BM) and digital reconstruction of the hypothesised *in-vivo* appearance of *Velociraptor mongoliensis*.

also had feathers, drastically changed its depiction from a scaly predator to a feathered, bird-like dinosaur. This shift was further supported by evidence of feathers in closely related species and anatomical features in *Velociraptor* itself, such as the presence of quill knobs on the forearm, suggesting attachment points for feathers.

In last decades, modern technologies have greatly enhanced our understanding of theropod dinosaur anatomy and biology. Advanced imaging techniques have allowed palaeontologists to study the internal structures of *Velociraptor* fossils, leading to new insights into its physiology and sensory capabilities. For instance, studies of its braincase have revealed an enlarged olfactory bulb, indicating a keen sense of smell, whereas analyses of its inner ear suggest advanced auditory capabilities. These findings suggest that *Velociraptor* very likely was a highly perceptive predator, capable of sophisticated hunting strategies.

The distinctive sickle claw of the taxon has been a focal point in understanding its predatory behaviour. The prevailing hypothesis suggests that *Velociraptor* used their feet for grasping and immobilising prey rather than slashing, a theory supported by the biomechanics of its limbs and the positioning of its claw. This is exemplified by the “fighting dinosaurs” fossil, where the *Velociraptor* pedal claw is seen in a gripping/kicking motion against its prey. Such morphological adaptations highlight its specialisation in capturing and subduing prey, reflecting its ecological role as an efficient predator.

Feeding strategies in *Velociraptor* likely included a mix of active hunting and scavenging, as suggested by stomach contents and evidence of varied prey. This versatility in feeding strategies indicates a flexible approach to survival in the harsh environments of Late Cretaceous Mongolia. Additionally, while there is limited direct evidence of pack hunting, trackway studies and comparisons with other dromaeosaurids suggest that *Velociraptor* might have exhibited some form of social behaviour, possibly for cooperative hunting or social interactions.

Velociraptor's depiction in popular culture, most notably in the ‘Jurassic Park’ and ‘Jurassic World’ films, has significantly shaped public perception of this dinosaur. The portrayal of *Velociraptor* as a large, intelligent, pack-hunting predator in the 1993 film, although scientifically inaccurate in size and behaviour, captured the imagination of millions and solidified its status as a cultural icon. This image, however, contrasts with the more accurate scientific reconstructions that depict *Velociraptor* as a smaller, feathered theropod. The gap between scientific understanding and public perception highlights the challenges and opportunities in science communication. While the feathered, bird-like depiction of *Velociraptor* has gradually gained acceptance, popular media has been slow to update its portrayal. Documentaries and educational programs incorporating the latest scientific findings have played a crucial role in bridging this gap, fostering a more accurate and nuanced understanding of *Velociraptor* among the general public.

As we look to the future, ongoing research and technological advancements promise to further unravel the mysteries of *Velociraptor* life and environment. Future discoveries, particularly in the areas of soft tissue preservation, isotopic analysis, ichnology, and biomechanical modelling, will likely provide new insights about

the type of integumentary structures covering its body, its colour, reproduction (eggs), ontogeny, and the sound it was making.. Moreover, continued exploration of the Gobi Desert and other Late Cretaceous sites may uncover additional specimens that can fill in gaps in our knowledge of *Velociraptor* and its closer taxa.

In summary, the centenary of the first description of *Velociraptor* is not just a celebration of a remarkable palaeontological find but also a testament to the dynamic nature of scientific inquiry. From its initial discovery to its status as a cultural icon, *Velociraptor* has played a pivotal role in our understanding of theropod evolution and the broader narrative of dinosaur research.

ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

This article contains electronic supplementary material which is available to authorised users. In particular, a review of the systematic palaeontology of Velociraptorinae is provided as Appendix 1, and the consensus trees length and a diagnostic for each character in the conducted phylogenetic analyses are available as Appendix 2 and Appendix 3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Editor in Chief Federico Rossetti, the Associate Editor Carlo Corradini, the Guest Editor Filippo Bertozzo and the two reviewers Christophe Hendrickx and Mattia Antonio Baiano are warmly thanked for their suggestions and corrections that substantially improved an early version of the manuscript. Dr. Linda Riti is warmly thanked for facilitating access to the *Velociraptor* cast displayed at MUST (Museo Universitario di Scienze della Terra). We also thank Dr. J.K. O'Connor and Dr. A. Berlusconi for helpful discussions. This research was funded by a Progetto di Ricerca Grande di Ateneo 2024, Sapienza University of Rome grant titled “Reconstructing the Early Cretaceous ecosystem of central Patagonia (Neuquén Basin, Argentina): a multidisciplinary approach”, Principal Investigator: Marco Romano (RG1241910CEC17B8).

REFERENCES

- Bakker R.T. (1986) - The dinosaur heresies: new theories unlocking the mystery of the dinosaurs and their extinction. New York, Morrow.
- Barsbold R. (1974) - Saurornithoididae, a new family of small theropod dinosaurs from central Asia and North America. *Palaeontol. Pol.*, 30, 5-22.
- Barsbold R. (1983) - Carnivorous dinosaurs from the Cretaceous of Mongolia. *Trans. Joint Soviet-Mongol. Paleontol. Exped.(in Russian)*, 19, 5-119.
- Barsbold R. (1998) - Fighting Dinosaurs: they really fought. In: De Carvalho A.M.G., Cachão M.A.P., Andrade A.M.P.S.A.F., da Silva C.A.P.F.M., dos Santos V.A.F. (Eds), *I Encontro Internacional sobre Paleobiologia dos Dinossáurios: Programa de Musealização para Pistas de Dinossáurios em Portugal*, Museu Nacional de História Natural, Lisbon, 74–78.
- Barsbold R. & Osmólska H. (1999) - The skull of *Velociraptor* [Theropoda] from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia. *Acta Palaeontol. Pol.*, 44(2), 189-219.
- Bertozzo F., Dal Sasso C., Fabbri M., Manucco F. & Maganuco S. (2017) - Redescription of a remarkably large *Gryposaurus notabilis* (Dinosauria: Hadrosauridae) from Alberta, Canada. *Mem. Soc. Ital. Sci. Nat. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat.*, 43, 1-56.

- Bertozzo F., Manucci F., Dempsey M., Tanke D.H., Evans D.C., Ruffell A. & Murphy E. (2021) - Description and etiology of paleopathological lesions in the type specimen of *Parasauroplophus walkeri* (Dinosauria: Hadrosauridae), with proposed reconstructions of the nuchal ligament. *J. Anat.*, 238(5), 1055-1069.
- Bishop P.J. (2019) - Testing the function of dromaeosaurid (Dinosauria, Theropoda) 'sickle claws' through musculoskeletal modelling and optimization. *PeerJ*, 7, e7577.
- Bonaparte J.F. (1984) - *Sulle orme dei dinosauri*. (Erizzo Editrice, Venezia). 334 pp.
- Brinkman D.L., Cifelli R.L. & Czaplewski N.J. (1998) - First occurrence of *Deinonychus antirrhopus* (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Antlers Formation (Lower Cretaceous: Aptian-Albian) of Oklahoma. *Oklahoma Geol. Surv. Bull.*, 146, 1-27.
- Brownstein C.D. (2021) - Dromaeosaurid crania demonstrate the progressive loss of facial pneumaticity in coelurosaurian dinosaurs. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.*, 191(1), 87-112.
- Brusatte S.L., Averianov A., Sues H.-D., Muir A. & Butler I.B. (2016) - New tyrannosaur from the mid-Cretaceous of Uzbekistan clarifies evolution of giant body sizes and advanced senses in tyrant dinosaurs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 113(13), 3447-3452.
- Carabajal A.P., Lee Y.N. & Jacobs L.L. (2016) - Endocranial morphology of the primitive nodosaurid dinosaur *Pawpawsaurus campbelli* from the Early Cretaceous of North America. *PLoS ONE*, 11, e0150845.
- Carpenter K. (1998) - Evidence of Predatory Behavior by Carnivorous Dinosaurs. *GAIA*, 15, 135-144.
- Carrano M.T. (1999) - What, if anything, is a cursor? Categories versus continua for determining locomotor habit in mammals and dinosaurs. *J. Zool.*, 247, 29-42.
- Cau A., Beyrand V., Voeten D., Fernandez V., Tafforeau P., Stein K., Barsbold R., Tsogtbaatar K., Currie P. & Godefroit P. (2017) - Synchrotron scanning reveals amphibious ecomorphology in a new clade of bird-like dinosaurs. *Nature*, 552 (7685), 395-399.
- Cau A., Brougham T. & Naish D. (2015) - The phylogenetic affinities of the bizarre Late Cretaceous Romanian theropod *Balaur bondoc* (Dinosauria, Maniraptora): Dromaeosaurid or flightless bird?. *PeerJ*, 3, e1032.
- Chiappe L.M. (2003) - A Field Trip to the Mesozoic. *PLoS Biol.*, 1(2), e40.
- Choiniere J.N., Neenan J.M., Schmitz L., Ford D.P., Chapelle K.E.J., Balanoff A.M., Sipla J.S., Georgi J.A., Walsh S.A., Norell M.A., Xu X., Clark J.M. & Benson R.B. (2021) - Evolution of vision and hearing modalities in theropod dinosaurs. *Science*, 372(6542), 610-613.
- Clarke J.A. & Norell M.A. (2004) - New avialan remains and a review of the known avifauna from the Late Cretaceous Nemegt Formation of Mongolia. *Am. Mus. Novit.*, 2004(3447), 1-12.
- Codd J.R., Manning P.L., Norell M.A. & Perry S.F. (2008) - Avian-like breathing mechanics in maniraptoran dinosaurs. *Proc. R. Soc. B*, 275(1631), 157-161.
- Colbert E.H. & Russell D.A. (1969) - The small Cretaceous dinosaur *Dromaeosaurus*. *Am. Mus. Novit.*, 2380, 1-49.
- Cullen T.M., Larson D.W., Witton M.P., Scott D., Maho T., Brink K.S., Evans D.C. & Reisz D. (2023) - Theropod dinosaur facial reconstruction and the importance of soft tissues in paleobiology. *Science*, 379(6639), 1348-1352.
- Currie P.J. (1995) - New information on the anatomy and relationships of *Dromaeosaurus albertensis* (Dinosauria: Theropoda). *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*, 15(3), 576-591.
- Currie P.J. & Evans D.C. (2020) - Cranial Anatomy of New Specimens of *Saurornitholestes langstoni* (Dinosauria, Theropoda, Dromaeosauridae) from the Dinosaur Park Formation (Campanian) of Alberta. *Anat. Rec.*, 303(4), 691-715.
- Czepeński Ł. (2023) - Skull of a dromaeosaurid dinosaur *Shri devi* from the Upper Cretaceous of the Gobi Desert suggests convergence to the North American forms. *Acta Palaeontol. Pol.*, 68(2), 227-243.
- Czerkas S. & Czerkas S. (1990) - *Dinosaurs, a global view*. Barnes & Noble Books, 247 pp.
- Dashzeveg D., Dingus L., Loope D.B., Swisher C.C., Dulam T. & Sweeney M.R. (2005) - New stratigraphic subdivision, depositional environment, and age estimate for the Upper Cretaceous Djadokhta Formation, southern Ulan Nur Basin, Mongolia. *Am. Mus. Novit.*, 2005(3498), 1-31.
- Debus A. & Debus D. (2002) - *Paleoimagery: the evolution of dinosaurs in art*, Jefferson (NC): McFarland, 293 pp.
- DePalma R.A., Burnham D.A., Martin L.D., Larson P.L. & Bakker R.T. (2015) - The first giant raptor (Theropoda: Dromaeosauridae) from the hell creek formation. *Paleont. Contr.*, (14), 1-16.
- Dial K.P. (2003) - Wing-assisted incline running and the evolution of flight. *Science*, 299(5605), 402-404.
- Dixon D., Cox B., Savage R. & Gardiner B. (1988) - *The Macmillan Illustrated Encyclopedia of Dinosaurs and Prehistoric Animals*. Macmillan Publishers, New York.
- Eberth D.A. (1993) - Depositional environments and facies transitions of dinosaur-bearing Upper Cretaceous redbeds at Bayan Mandahu (Inner Mongolia, People's Republic of China). *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 30(10), 2196-2213.
- Einoder L. & Richardson A. (2007) - Aspects of the hindlimb morphology of some Australasian birds of prey: a comparative and quantitative study. *The Auk*, 124, 773-788.
- Ellis D.H., Bednarz J.C., Smith D.G. & Flemming S.P. (1993) - Social foraging classes in raptorial birds. *Bioscience*, 43(1), 14-20.
- Evans D.C., Larson D.W. & Currie P.J. (2013) - A new dromaeosaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) with Asian affinities from the latest Cretaceous of North America. *Naturwissenschaften*, 100(11), 1041-1049.
- Fastovsky D.E. & Weishampel D.B. (2009) - *Dinosaurs: a concise natural history*. Cambridge University Press.
- Fastovsky D.E., Badamgarav D., Ishimoto H., Watabe M. & Weishampel D.B. (1997) - The paleoenvironments of Tugrikin-Shireh (Gobi Desert, Mongolia) and aspects of the taphonomy and paleoecology of *Protoceratops* (Dinosauria: Ornithischia). *Palaios*, 12, 59-70.
- Feduccia A. (1999) - The origin and evolution of birds. *Am. J. Sci.*, 297(4), 450-452.
- Feduccia A. & Martin L.D. (1998) - Theropod-bird link reconsidered. *Nature*, 391(6669), 754-754.
- Feduccia A., Martin L.D. & Tarsitano S. (2007) - Perspectives in Ornithology *Archaeopteryx* 2007: Quo Vadis?. *The Auk*, 124(2), 373-380.
- Fisher P.E., Russell D.A., Stoskopf M.K., Barrick R.E., Hammer M. & Kuzmitz A.A. (2000) - Cardiovascular Evidence for an Intermediate or Higher Metabolic Rate in an Ornithischian Dinosaur. *Science*, 288(5465), 503-505.
- Foster S., Garcia V. & Town M. (1988) - Cannibalism as the cause of an ontogenetic shift in habitat use by fry of the threespine stickleback. *Oecologia*, 74 (4), 577-585.

- Fowler D.W., Freedman E.A. & Scannella J.B. (2009) - Predatory functional morphology in raptors: interdigital variation in talon size is related to prey restraint and immobilisation technique. *PLoS ONE*, 4(11), e7999.
- Fowler D.W., Freedman E.A., Scannella J.B. & Kambic R.E. (2011) - The predatory ecology of *Deinonychus* and the origin of flapping in birds. *PLoS ONE*, 6(12), e28964.
- Frederickson J.A., Engel M.H. & Cifelli R.L. (2020) - Ontogenetic dietary shifts in *Deinonychus antirrhopus* (Theropoda; Dromaeosauridae): insights into the ecology and social behavior of raptorial dinosaurs through stable isotope analysis. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 552, 109780.
- Gallencamp C. (2001) - Dragon Hunter: Roy Chapman Andrews and the Central Asiatic Expeditions. Viking, New York, 400 pp.
- Gardom T. & Milner A.C. (1993) - The Natural History Museum Book of Dinosaurs. Virgin Books, London.
- Gianechini F.A., Ercoli M.D. & Díaz-Martínez I. (2020) - Differential locomotor and predatory strategies of Gondwanan and derived Laurasian dromaeosaurids (Dinosauria, Theropoda, Paraves): Inferences from morphometric and comparative anatomical studies. *J. Anat.*, 236(5), 772-797.
- Gignac P.M., Makovicky P.J., Erickson G.M. & Walsh R.P. (2010) - A description of *Deinonychus antirrhopus* bite marks and estimates of bite force using tooth indentation simulations. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*, 30(4), 1169-1177.
- Gleich O., Dooling R.J. & Manley G.A. (2005) - Audiogram, body mass, and basilar papilla length: correlations in birds and predictions for extinct archosaurs. *Naturwissenschaften*, 92, 589-595.
- Godefroit P., Currie P.J., Hong L., Yong S.C. & Dong Z. (2008) - A new species of *Velociraptor* (Dinosauria: Dromaeosauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous of northern China. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*, 28, 432-438.
- Gradziński R., Kielan-Jaworowska Z. & Maryńska T. (1977) - Upper Cretaceous Djadokhta, Barun Goyot and Nemegt formations of Mongolia, including remarks on previous subdivisions. *Acta Geol. Pol.*, 27(3), 281-318.
- Granger W.G. (1936) - Peter C. Kaisen, Obituary, *Science* 83, 343.
- Halstead L.B. (1975) - The Evolution and Ecology of the Dinosaurs. Eurobook Limited, Somerset, 116 pp.
- Hattori S. (2016) - Evolution of the hallux in non-avian theropod dinosaurs. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*, 36(4), e1116995.
- Hendrickx C., Mateus O., da Louriñhã M., Araújo R., da Louriñhã M. & Choiniere J. (2019) - The distribution of dental features in non-avian theropod dinosaurs: Taxonomic potential, degree of homoplasy, and major evolutionary trends. *Palaeontol. Electron.*, 22.3.74, 1-110.
- Hill G.E. & McGraw K.J. (2006) - Bird Coloration, volume 2: Function and Evolution. Harvard University Press.
- Holt D.W., Kline R. & Sullivan-Holt L. (1990) - A description of "tufts" and concealing posture in northern pygmy-owls. *J. Raptor Res.*, 24, 59-63.
- Holtz T.R. (2003) - Dinosaur predation. In: Kelly P.H., Kowaleski M. & Hansen T. A. (Eds.), *Predator-prey interactions in the fossil record*. *Top. Geol.*, 17, 325-340.
- Hopp T.P. & Orsen M.J. (2004) - Dinosaur Brooding Behavior and the Origin of Flight Feathers. In: Currie P.J., Koppelhus E.B., Shugar M.A. & J.L. Wright (Eds.), *Feathered Dragons: Studies of the Transition from Dinosaurs to Birds*, Indiana University Press, Bloomington, 234-250.
- Hopson J. (1977) - Relative brain size and behavior in archosaurian reptiles. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 8, 429-448.
- Hopson J.A. (1980) - Relative brain size in dinosaurs – implications for dinosaurian endothermy. In: Thomas R.D.K. & Olson E.C. (Eds.), *A Cold Look at the Warm-Blooded Dinosaurs*. AAAS Selected Symposium, 28, 278-310.
- Hone D., Choiniere J., Sullivan C., Xu X., Pittman M. & Tan Q. (2010) - New evidence for a trophic relationship between the dinosaurs *Velociraptor* and *Protoceratops*. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 291(3-4), 488-492.
- Hone D., Tsuihiji T., Watabe M. & Tsogtbaatr K. (2012) - Pterosaurs as a food source for small dromaeosaurs. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 331, 27-30.
- Hu D., Clarke J.A., Eliason C.M., Qiu R., Li Q., Shawkey M.D., Zhao C., D'Alba L., Jiang J. & Xu X. (2018) - A bony-crested Jurassic dinosaur with evidence of iridescent plumage highlights complexity in early paravian evolution. *Nat. Commun.*, 9(1), 217.
- Hutchinson J.R. (2001) - The evolution of pelvic osteology and soft tissues on the line to extant birds (Neornithes). *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.*, 131(2), 123-168.
- Jasinski S.E., Sullivan R.M., Carter A.M., Johnson E.H., Dalman S.G., Zariwala J. & Currie P.J. (2022) - Osteology and reassessment of *Dineobellator notohesperus*, a southern eudromaeosaur (Theropoda: Dromaeosauridae: Eudromaeosauria) from the latest Cretaceous of New Mexico. *Anat. Rec.*, 306(7), 1712-1756.
- Jasinski S.E., Sullivan R.M. & Dodson P. (2020) - New dromaeosaurid dinosaur (Theropoda, Dromaeosauridae) from New Mexico and biodiversity of dromaeosaurids at the end of the Cretaceous. *Sci. Rep.*, 10(1), 5105.
- Jerzykiewicz T., Currie P.J., Eberth D.A., Johnston P.A., Koster E.H. & Zheng J.J. (1993) - Djadokhta Formation correlative strata in Chinese Inner Mongolia: an overview of the stratigraphy, sedimentary geology, and paleontology and comparisons with the type locality in the pre-Altai Gobi. *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 30(10), 2180-2195.
- Jerzykiewicz T., Currie P.J., Fanti F. & Lefeld J. (2021) - Lithobiotopes of the Nemegt Gobi Basin. *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 10, 1-81.
- Keren-Rotem T., Bouskila A. & Geffen E. (2006) - Ontogenetic habitat shift and risk of cannibalism in the common chameleon (*Chamaeleo chamaeleon*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, 59, 723-731.
- Kielan-Jaworowska Z. & Barsbold R. (1972) - Narrative of the Polish-Mongolian Paleontological Expeditions. *Palaeontol. Polon.*, 27, 11.
- King J.L., Sipla J.S., Georgi J.A., Balanoff A.M. & Neenan J.M. (2020) - The endocranium and trophic ecology of *Velociraptor mongoliensis*. *J. Anat.*, 237(5), 861-869.
- Kubota K. & Barsbold R. (2006) - Reexamination of *Adasaurus mongoliensis* (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Upper Cretaceous Nemegt Formation of Mongolia. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*, 26(03), 88A.
- Kundrát M. (2004) - Two morphotypes of the *Velociraptor* neurocranium. *J. Morphol. (Conference Abstract)*, 260(3), 305.
- Lautenschlager S., Rayfield E.J., Altangerel P., Zanno L.E. & Witmer L.M. (2012) - The endocranial anatomy of Therizinosauria and its implications for sensory and cognitive function. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e52289.
- Lefevre U., Cau A., Cincotta A., Hu D., Chinsamy A., Escuillie F. & Godefroit P. (2017) - A new Jurassic theropod from China documents a transitional step in the macrostructure of feathers. *Sci. Nat.*, 104, 1-13.
- Legendre L.J., Guénard G., Botha-Brink J. & Cubo J. (2016) - Palaeohistological evidence for ancestral high metabolic rate in archosaurs. *Syst. Biol.*, 65(6), 989-996.

- Li Q., Clarke J.A., Gao K.Q., Zhou C.F., Meng Q., Li D., D'Alba L. & Shawkey M.D. (2014) - Melanosome evolution indicates a key physiological shift within feathered dinosaurs. *Nature*, 507(7492), 350-353.
- Li Q., Gao K.Q., Meng Q., Clarke J.A., Shawkey M.D., D'Alba L., Pei R., Ellison M., Norell M. & Vinther J. (2012) - Reconstruction of *Microraptor* and the evolution of iridescent plumage. *Science*, 335(6073), 1215-1219.
- Li Q., Gao K.-Q., Vinther J., Shawkey M.D., Clarke J.A., D'Alba L., Meng Q., Briggs D.E.G. & Prum R.O. (2010) - Plumage color patterns of an extinct dinosaur. *Science*, 327(5971), 1369-1372.
- Li R., Lockley M.G., Makovicky P.J., Matsukawa M., Norell M.A., Harris J.D. & Liu M. (2007) - Behavioral and faunal implications of Early Cretaceous deinonychosaur trackways from China. *Naturwissenschaften*, 95, 185-191.
- Liston J. (2010) - 2000 A.D. and the new 'Flesh': first to report the dinosaur renaissance in 'moving' pictures. In: Moody R.T.J., Buffetaut E., Naish D. & Martill D.M. (eds), *Dinosaurs and Other Extinct Saurians: A Historical Perspective*. Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 343, 335-360.
- Long R.A. (1978) - The last of the dinosaurs. Bellerophon Books, 48 pp.
- Longrich N.R. & Currie P.J. (2009) - A microraptorine (Dinosauria—Dromaeosauridae) from the Late Cretaceous of North America. *PNAS*, 106(13), 5002-5007.
- Lü J. & Brusatte S.L. (2015) - A large, short-armed, winged dromaeosaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Early Cretaceous of China and its implications for feather evolution. *Sci. Rep.*, 5(1), 1-11.
- Makovicky P.J., Apesteguía S. & Agnolín F.L. (2005) - The earliest dromaeosaurid theropod from South America. *Nature*, 437(7061), 1007-1011.
- Maidment S.C.R. & Porro L.B. (2009) - Homology of the palpebral and origin of supraorbital ossifications in ornithischian dinosaurs. *Lethaia*, 43, 95-111.
- Manley G.A. (1990) - Peripheral hearing mechanisms in reptiles and birds. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 288 pp.
- Manning P.L., Margetts L., Johnson M.R., Withers P.J., Sellers W.I., Falkingham P.L., Mummery P.M., Barrett P.M. & Raymont D.R. (2009) - Biomechanics of dromaeosaurid dinosaur claws: application of X-ray microtomography, nanoindentation, and finite element analysis. *Anat. Rec.*, 292(9), 1397-1405.
- Manucci F. & Romano M. (2023) - Reviewing the iconography and the central role of 'paleoart': four centuries of geo-palaeontological art. *Hist. Biol.*, 35(1), 1-48.
- Matthew W.D. & Brown B. (1922) - The family Deinodontidae, with notice of a new genus from the Cretaceous of Alberta. *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.*, 46, 367-385.
- Maxwell W.D. & Ostrom J.H. (1995) - Taphonomy and paleobiological implications of *Tenontosaurus-Deinonychus* associations. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*, 15, 707-712.
- Molnar R.E. (2001) - Theropod paleopathology: a literature survey. In: Carpenter K., Skrepnick M.W. & Tanke D.H. (Eds), *Mesozoic Vertebrate Life*, Indiana Univ. Press, 337-363.
- Motani R. & Schmitz L. (2011) - Phylogenetic versus functional signals in the evolution of form–function relationships in terrestrial vision. *Evolution*, 65(8), 2245-2257.
- Naish D., Martill D.M. & Frey E. (2004) - Ecology, systematics and biogeographical relationships of dinosaurs, including a new theropod, from the Santana Formation (?Albian, Early Cretaceous) of Brazil. *Hist. Biol.*, 16(2-4), 57-70.
- Napoli J.G., Ruebenstahl A.A., Bhullar B.-A.S., Turner A.H. & Norell M.A. (2021) - A new dromaeosaurid (Dinosauria: Coelurosauria) from Khulsan, Central Mongolia. *Am. Mus. Novit.*, 3982, 1-47.
- Norell M. & Makovicky P.J. (1997) - Important features of the dromaeosaur skeleton: information from a new specimen. *Am. Mus. Novit.*, 3215, 1-28.
- Norell M.A. & Makovicky P.J. (1999) - Important features of the dromaeosaurid skeleton II: information from newly collected specimens of *Velociraptor mongoliensis*. *Am. Mus. Novit.*, 3282, 1-45.
- Norell M.A. & Makovicky P.J. (2004) - Dromaeosauridae. In: Weishampel D.B., Dodson P. & Osmolska H. (Eds), *The Dinosauria*, 2nd ed., University of California Press, Berkeley, 196-209.
- Norell M.A. & Xu X. (2005) - Feathered dinosaurs. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 33(1), 277-299.
- Norell M.A., Clark J.M., Chiappe L.M. & Dashzeveg D. (1995) - A nesting dinosaur. *Nature*, 378(6559), 774-776.
- Norell M.A., Clark J.M., Turner A.H., Makovicky P.J., Barsbold R. & Rowe T. (2006) - A new dromaeosaurid theropod from Ukhaa Tolgod (Ömnögovi, Mongolia). *Am. Mus. Novit.*, 3545, 1-51.
- Norell M.A., Makovicky P. & Clark J.M. (1997) - A *Velociraptor* wishbone. *Nature*, 389(6650), 447-447.
- Norman D.B. (1985) - The illustrated encyclopedia of dinosaurs. Crescent Books, New York.
- Novacek M.J., Hoffman E.A. & O'leary M.A. (2022) - First occurrence of the eutherian mammal *Asioryctes nemegtensis* from the Upper Cretaceous Djadokhta Formation, Gobi Desert, Mongolia, and a revised alpha taxonomy based on the skull and dentition. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*, 42(4), e2196320.
- Novas F.E., Pol D., Canale J.I., Porfiri J.D. & Calvo J.O. (2009) - A bizarre Cretaceous theropod dinosaur from Patagonia and the evolution of Gondwanan dromaeosaurids. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.*, 276(1659), 1101-1107.
- O'Connor P. & Claessens L. (2005) - Basic avian pulmonary design and flow-through ventilation in non-avian theropod dinosaurs. *Nature*, 436(7048), 253-256.
- Osmólska H. (1993) - Were the Mongolian "fighting dinosaurs" really fighting. *Rev. Paléob. Spec.*, 7, 161-162.
- Osborn H.F. (1924) - Three new theropoda, *Protoceratops* zone, central Mongolia. *Am. Mus. Novit.*, 144, 1-12.
- Ostrom J.H. (1969) - Osteology of *Deinonychus antirrhopus*, an unusual theropod from the Lower Cretaceous of Montana. *Bull. Peabody Mus. Nat. Hist.*, 30, 1-165.
- Ostrom J.H. (1974) - The pectoral girdle and forelimb function of *Deinonychus* (Reptilia: Saurischia): a correction. *Postilla*, 165.
- Ostrom J.H. (1990) - Dromaeosauridae. In: Weishampel D.B., Dodson P. & Osmólska H. (eds), *The Dinosauria*. University of California Press, Berkeley, 269-279.
- Paul G.S. (1988) - Predatory dinosaurs of the world: a complete illustrated guide. Simon & Schuster, New York, 464 pp.
- Paul G.S. (2017) - Little more on Jurassic Park. *Prehistoric Times*, 107.
- Pittman M., O'Connor J., Tse E., Makovicky P., Field D.J., Ma W., Turner A.H., Norell M.A., Pei R. & Xu X. (2020) - The Fossil Record of Mesozoic and Paleocene Pennaraptorans. In: Pittman M. & Xing X. (Eds), *Pennaraptoran Theropod Dinosaurs: Past Progress and New Frontiers*. *ull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.*, 37-95.
- Poust A.W., Gao C.-L., Varricchio D.J., Wu J.-L. & Zhang F.-J. (2020) - A new microraptorine theropod from the Jehol Biota and growth in early dromaeosaurids. *Anat. Rec.*, 303(4), 963-987.
- Powers M.J. (2020) - The Evolution of Snout Shape in Eudromaeosaurians and its Ecological Significance. (Master Thesis), Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, pp. 1-88.

- Powers M.J., Fabbri M., Doschak M.R., Bhullar B.A.S., Evans D.C., Norell M.A. & Currie P. J. (2021a) - A new hypothesis of eudromaeosaurian evolution: CT scans assist in testing and constructing morphological characters. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*, 41(5), e2010087.
- Powers M.J., Norell M.A. & Currie P.J. (2021b) - New shallow snouted species of *Velociraptor* sheds light on intraspecific variation in *Velociraptor mongoliensis* and possible niche partitioning between species. *Vertebrate Anatomy Morphology Palaeontology*, CSVP Online Abstracts, 31.
- Powers M.J., Norell M.A. & Currie P.J. (2021c) - Examination of morphological variation across *Velociraptor mongoliensis* specimens reveals a new species with possible ecomorphological variation in snout dimensions. Virtual Meeting Conference, The Society of Vertebrate Paleontology, 211.
- Powers M.J., Sullivan C. & Currie P.J. (2020) - Re-examining ratio-based premaxillary and maxillary characters in Eudromaeosauria (Dinosauria: Theropoda): Divergent trends in snout morphology between Asian and North American taxa. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 109704.
- Preiss B. & Silverberg R. (1992) - *The Ultimate Dinosaur*. Bantam Press, 336 pp.
- Rey L.V. (2001) - *Extreme Dinosaurs*. Chronicle Books LLC, San Francisco, 62 pp.
- Roach B. & Brinkman D.L. (2007) - A reevaluation of cooperative pack hunting and gregariousness in *Deinonychus antirrhopus* and other nonavian theropod dinosaurs. *Bull. Peabody Mus. Nat. Hist.*, 48(1), 103-138.
- Romano M. & Novacek M.J. (2023) - 100 years of dinosaur eggs. *Nature*, 615(7950), 34-34.
- Romano M. & Rubidge B. (2021) - First 3D reconstruction and volumetric body mass estimate of the tapinocephalid dinocephalian *Tapinocaninus pamela* (Synapsida: Therapsida). *Hist. Biol.*, 33(4), 498-505.
- Romano M., Antonelli M., Palombo M.R., Rossi M.A. & Agostini S. (2022a) - Drone testing for 3D reconstruction of massive mounted skeletons in museums: the case of *Mammuthus meridionalis* (Nesti 1825) from Madonna della Strada (Scoppito, L'Aquila, Italy). *Hist. Biol.*, 34(7), 1305-1314.
- Romano M., Bellucci L., Antonelli M., Manucci F. & Palombo M.R. (2023) - Body mass estimate of *Anancus arvernensis* (Croizet and Jobert 1828): comparison of the regression and volumetric methods. *J. Quat. Sci.*, 38(8), 1357-1381.
- Romano M., Manucci F. & Palombo M.R. (2021a) - The smallest of the largest: new volumetric body mass estimate and in-vivo restoration of the dwarf elephant *Palaeoloxodon ex gr. P. falconeri* from Spinagallo Cave (Sicily). *Hist. Biol.*, 33(3), 340-353.
- Romano M., Manucci F., Antonelli M., Rossi M.A., Agostini S. & Palombo M.R. (2022b) - In vivo restoration and volumetric body mass estimate of *Mammuthus meridionalis* from Madonna della Strada (Scoppito, L'Aquila). *Riv. Ital. Paleontol. S.*, 128(3), 559-573.
- Romano M., Manucci F. & Bellucci L. (2025) - Body mass estimate and in-vivo reconstruction of *Hippopotamus antiquus* from Figline, Upper Valdarno (Tuscany). *Hist. Biol.*, 37(6), 1541-1552.
- Romano M., Manucci F., Rubidge B. & Van den Brandt M.J. (2021b) - Volumetric body mass estimate and in vivo reconstruction of the Russian pareiasaur *Scutosaurus karpinskii*. *Front. Ecol. Evol.*, 9, 692035.
- Ruben J.A., Jones T.D., Geist N.R. & Hillenius W.J. (1997) - Lung structure and ventilation in theropod dinosaurs and early birds. *Science*, 278(5341), 1267-1270.
- Ruebenstahl A.A., Powers M.J., Napoli J.G., Chinzorig T., Turner A.H., Bhullar B.S. & Norell M.A. (2023) - Multiple unrecognized species of *Velociraptor* in the *Velociraptor mongoliensis* species complex. *SVP abstracts*.
- Saneyoshi M., Watabe M., Suzuki S. & Tsogtbaatar K. (2011) - Trace fossils on dinosaur bones from Upper Cretaceous eolian deposits in Mongolia: taphonomic interpretation of paleoecosystems in ancient desert environments. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 311(1-2), 38-47.
- Schmitz L. & Motani R. (2011) - Nocturnality in dinosaurs inferred from scleral ring and orbit morphology. *Science*, 332(6030), 705-708.
- Senter P., Kirkland J.I., Deblieux D.D., Madsen S. & Toth N. (2012) - New dromaeosaurids (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Lower Cretaceous of Utah, and the evolution of the dromaeosaurid tail. *PLoS ONE*, 7(5), e36790.
- Sereno P.C. (1998) - A rationale for phylogenetic definitions, with application to the higher-level taxonomy of Dinosauria. *Neues Jahrb. Geol. P.-A.*, 210(1), 41-83.
- Seymour R.S., Smith S.L., White C.R., Henderson D.M. & Schwarz-Wings D. (2012) - Blood flow to long bones indicates activity metabolism in mammals, reptiles, and dinosaurs. *Proc. R. Soc. B*, 279(1728), 451-456.
- Schmit L. & Motani R. (2011) - Nocturnality in dinosaurs inferred from scleral ring and orbit morphology. *Science*, 332, 705-708.
- Snively E., Cotton J.R., Ridgely R. & Witmer L.M. (2013) - Multibody dynamics model of head and neck function in *Allosaurus* (Dinosauria, Theropoda). *Palaeontol. Electron.*, 16(2), 11A, 29 pp.
- Snyder N. & Snyder H. (2006) - *Raptors of North America: Natural History and Conservation*. Voyageur Press.
- Stevens K.A. (2006) - Binocular vision in theropod dinosaurs. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*, 26, 321-330.
- Stout W. (1981) - *The Dinosaurs: A Fantastic New View of a Lost Era*. Mallard Press, New York, 176 pp.
- Sulimski A. (1975) - Macrocephalosauridae and Polyglyphanodontidae (Sauria) from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia. *Palaeontol. Polon.*, 33, 25-102.
- Tada S., Tsuihiji T., Matsumoto R., Hanai T., Iwami Y., Tomita N., Sato H. & Tsogtbaatar K. (2023) - Evolutionary process toward avian-like cephalic thermoregulation system in Theropoda elucidated based on nasal structures. *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 10(4), 220997.
- Tickle P.G., Ennos A.R., Lennox L.E., Perry S.F. & Codd J.R. (2007) - Functional significance of the uncinat processes in birds. *J. Exp. Biol.*, 210(22), 3955-3961.
- Torres C.R. & Clarke J.A. (2018) - Nocturnal giants: evolution of the sensory ecology in elephant birds and other palaeognaths inferred from digital brain reconstructions. *Proc. R. Soc. B*, 285, 1-8.
- Turner A.H., Makovicky P.J. & Norell M.A. (2007) - Feather quill knobs in the dinosaur *Velociraptor*. *Science*, 317(5845), 1721.
- Turner A.H., Makovicky P.J. & Norell M.A. (2012) - A review of dromaeosaurid systematics and paravian phylogeny. *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.*, 371, 19-22.
- Turner A.H., Montanari S. & Norell M.A. (2021) - A new dromaeosaurid from the Late Cretaceous Khulsan locality of Mongolia. *Am. Mus. Novit.*, 3965, 1-48.
- Van den Brandt M.J., Day M.O., Manucci F., Viglietti P.A., Angielczyk K.D. & Romano M. (2024) - First volumetric body mass estimate and a new in vivo 3D reconstruction of the oldest Karoo pareiasaur *Bradysaurus baini*, and body size evolution in Pareiasauria. *Hist. Biol.*, 36(3), 587-601.

- Waldrop V.H. & Loomis M.E. (1984) - Ranger Rick's Dinosaur Book. National Wildlife Federation, Washington, D.C.
- Wallace J.E. (1993) - Familiar Dinosaurs. Knopf, New York (National Audubon Society Pocket Guides).
- Walsh S.A., Barrett P.M., Milner A.C., Manley G. & Witmer L.M. (2009) - Inner ear anatomy is a proxy for deducing auditory capability and behaviour in reptiles and birds. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.*, 276, 1355-1360.
- Walsh S.A., Iwaniuk A.N., Knoll M.A., Bourdon E., Barrett P.M., Milner A.C., Nudds R.L., Abel R.L. & Sterpaio P.D. (2013) - Avian cerebellar floccular fossa size is not a proxy for flying ability in birds. *PLoS ONE*, 8(6), e67176.
- Wang X., Cau A., Guo B., Ma F., Qing G. & Liu Y. (2022) - Intestinal preservation in a birdlike dinosaur supports conservatism in digestive canal evolution among theropods. *Sci. Rep.*, 12(1), 19965.
- Ward A.B., Weigl P.D. & Conroy R.M. (2002) - Functional morphology of raptor hindlimbs: implications for resource partitioning. *The Auk*, 119, 1052-1063.
- Werner E.E., Gilliam J.F., Hall D.J. & Mittelbach G.G. (1983) - An experimental test of the effects of predation risk on habitat use in fish. *Ecology*, 64(6), 1540-1548.
- Wilford J.N. (1985) - The riddle of the dinosaurs. New York: Knopf, 271 pp.
- Witmer L.M. & Ridgely R.C. (2009) - New insights into the brain, braincase, and ear region of *Tyrannosaurs* (Dinosauria, Theropoda), with implications for sensory organization and behavior. *Anat. Rec. Adv. Integr. Anat. Evol. Biol.*, 292(9), 1266-1296.
- Xu X., Wang X.-L. & Wu X.-C. (1999) - A dromaeosaurid dinosaur with a filamentous integument from the Yixian Formation of China. *Nature*, 401(6750), 262-266.
- Xu X., Zhou Z., Wang X., Kuang X., Zhang F. & Du X. (2003) - Four-winged dinosaurs from China. *Nature*, 421(6921), 335-340.
- Zanno L.E. & Makovicky P.J. (2011) - Herbivorous ecomorphology and specialization patterns in theropod dinosaur evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 108(1), 232-237.
- Zelenitsky D.K., Therrien F., Erickson G.M., DeBuhr C.L., Kobayashi Y., Eberth D.A. & Hadfield F. (2012) - Feathered Non-Avian Dinosaurs From North America Provide Insight into Wing Origins. *Science*, 338(6106), 510-514.
- Zhang F., Kearns S.L., Orr P.J., Benton M.J., Zhou Z., Johnson D., Xu X. & Wang X. (2010) - Fossilized melanosomes and the colour of Cretaceous dinosaurs and birds. *Nature*, 463(7284), 1075-1078.